arXiv:1512.01223v3 [math.PR] 7 Mar 2016

An almost sure KPZ relation for SLE and Brownian motion

Ewain Gwynne MIT Nina Holden MIT Jason Miller Cambridge

Abstract

The peanosphere construction of Duplantier, Miller, and Sheffield provides a means of representing a γ-Liouville quantum gravity (LQG) surface, $\gamma \in (0,2)$, decorated with a space-filling form of Schramm's SLE_κ, $\kappa = 16/\gamma^2 \in (4,\infty)$, η as a gluing of a pair of trees which are encoded by a correlated two-dimensional Brownian motion Z. We prove a KPZ-type formula which relates the Hausdorff dimension of any Borel subset A of the range of η which can be defined as a function of η (modulo time parameterization) to the Hausdorff dimension of the corresponding time set $\eta^{-1}(A)$. This result serves to reduce the problem of computing the Hausdorff dimension of any set associated with an SLE, CLE, or related processes in the interior of a domain to the problem of computing the Hausdorff dimension of a certain set associated with a Brownian motion. For many natural examples, the associated Brownian motion set is well-known. As corollaries, we obtain new proofs of the Hausdorff dimensions of the SLE_κ curve for $\kappa \neq 4$; the double points and cut points of SLE_κ for $\kappa > 4$; and the intersection of two flow lines of a Gaussian free field. We obtain the Hausdorff dimension of the set of m-tuple points of space-filling SLE_κ for $\kappa > 4$ and $m \geq 3$ by computing the Hausdorff dimension of the so-called $(m-2)$-tuple $\pi/2$-cone times of a correlated planar Brownian motion.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Examples **9**

3 SLE and GFF estimates **15**

4 Intersection of the thick points of a GFF with a Borel set **24**

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1 **29**

6 Multiple points of space-filling SLE **32**

7 Open questions **44**

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

The Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE_κ) [Sch00] and related processes such as $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ [LSW03,SW05,MS16a] and the conformal loop ensembles (CLE_κ) [She09, SW12] have been an active area of research for the past sixteen years. One line of research in this area has been the confirmation of exponents computed non-rigorously by physicists in the context of discrete models from statistical physics. Many of these exponents were derived using the so-called *KPZ relation* [KPZ88], which is a non-rigorous formula which relates exponents for statistical physics models on random planar maps to the corresponding exponents for the model on a Euclidean lattice, such as $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Exponents derived in this way are said to be obtained from "quantum gravity methods." This method of deriving exponents has been very successful because

the computation of an exponent in many cases boils down to a counting problem which turns out to be much easier when the underlying lattice is random (i.e., one considers a random planar map). Perhaps the most famous example of this type are the so-called *Brownian intersection exponents*, which give the exponent of the probability that k Brownian motions started on $\partial B_\epsilon(0)$ at distance proportional to ϵ from each other make it to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ without any of their traces intersecting. These exponents were derived using quantum gravity methods by Duplantier[1] in [Dup98]. The values of the Brownian intersection exponents were then verified mathematically in one of the early successes of SLE by Lawler, Schramm, and Werner in [LSW01a, LSW01b, LSW02]. Following these works, a number of other exponents (hence also Hausdorff dimensions) have been calculated using SLE techniques, many of which were previously predicted in the physics literature [ABJ15, AS08, Bef08, GMS14, JVL12, MSW14, MW14, MWW14a, NW11, RS05, SSW09, WW15].

Our main result is a rigorous version of the KPZ formula that relates the a.s. Hausdorff dimension of a set associated with space-filling[2] $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ [MS13], $\kappa' \in (4,\infty)$, to the a.s. Hausdorff dimension of a certain Brownian motion set in the context of the so-called peanosphere construction of [DMS14], which we review below. This serves to reduce the problem of calculating the Hausdorff dimension of any set associated with SLE_κ, $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$, or CLE_κ for $\kappa \neq 4$ in the interior of a domain to the problem of calculating the Hausdorff dimension of a certain (explicitly described) set associated with a correlated two-dimensional Brownian motion. There are numerous formulations of the KPZ formula in the literature, see e.g. the original physics paper [KPZ88], in addition to more recent and rigorous formulations in e.g. [Aru13, BGRV14, BJRV13, BS09b, DMS14, DRSV14, DS11, RV11]. As explained just above, the KPZ formula is typically applied to compute the Euclidean dimension of fractal sets, after deriving the quantum dimension heuristically or rigorously by quantum gravity techniques. In our formulation the quantum dimension is explicitly given by the dimension of some Brownian motion set, hence our formula is directly useful for computations.

To illustrate the application of our main theorem, we will obtain new proofs of the a.s. Hausdorff dimensions of several sets, including the SLE curve for $\kappa \neq 4$, the double points of SLE, the cut points of SLE, and the intersection of two flow lines of a Gaussian free field [She16a, MS16a, MS16b, MS16c, MS13]. We will also use our theorem to calculate the a.s. Hausdorff dimension of the m-tuple points of space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' \in (4,8)$ by calculating the Hausdorff dimension of the so-called $(m-2)$-tuple cone times for correlated two-dimensional Brownian motion.

The main motivation of our theorem is to convert SLE dimension questions into Brownian motion dimension questions, since these are often much easier to solve. However, the result also works in the reverse direction. One example of a Brownian motion set whose dimension has not yet been directly computed to our knowledge is the set of times not contained in any left cone interval. Under the peanosphere correspondence, this set corresponds to the $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ gasket for $\kappa' \in (4,8)$, the Hausdorff dimension of which is computed in [MSW14, SSW09].

In the forthcoming work [GHM16], the main theorem of the present paper (along with a theorem of Rhodes and Vargas [RV11]) will be used to prove the following additional dimension formula for SLE_κ. If η is an SLE_κ curve for $\kappa \in (0,4)$, and Y is a deterministic subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with Hausdorff dimension $d \in [0,1]$, then it is a.s. the case that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(Y) = \frac{1}{32\kappa}\left(4+\kappa-\sqrt{(4+\kappa)^2-16\kappa d}\right)\left(12+3\kappa+\sqrt{(4+\kappa)^2-16\kappa d}\right)$$

for almost every choice of conformal map f from $\mathbb{H}$ to a complementary connected component of η which satisfies $f(Y) \subset \eta$.

1.2 Review of Liouville quantum gravity and the peanosphere

We will now provide a brief review of Liouville quantum gravity and the peanosphere construction which will be necessary to understand our main result below. Suppose that h is an instance of the Gaussian free field

[1] The Brownian intersection exponents were also derived earlier using a different method by Duplantier and Kwon in [DK88].

[2] In order to be consistent with the notation of [MS16a, MS16b, MS16c, MS13], unless explicitly stated otherwise we will assume that $\kappa \in (0,4)$ and $\kappa' = 16/\kappa \in (4,\infty)$.

(GFF) on a planar domain D and $\gamma \in (0,2)$. The γ-Liouville quantum gravity (LQG) surface associated with h formally corresponds to the surface with Riemannian metric

$$e^{\gamma h(z)}(dx^2 + dy^2), \tag{1.1}$$

where $z = x + iy = (x,y)$ and $dx^2 + dy^2$ denotes the Euclidean metric on D. This expression does not make literal sense because h takes values in the space of distributions and does not take values at points. The area measure μ_h associated with (1.1) has been made sense of using a regularization procedure (see e.g., [DS11]), namely by taking $e^{\gamma h(z)}dz$ to be the weak limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ of $\epsilon^{\gamma^2/2} e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)} dz$, where $h_\epsilon(z)$ is the average of h on the circle $\partial B_\epsilon(z)$. One can similarly define a length measure ν_h by taking it to be the weak limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ of $\epsilon^{\gamma^2/4} e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)/2} dz$. We refer to μ_h (resp. ν_h) as the quantum area (resp. boundary length) measure associated with h. Quantum boundary lengths are well-defined for piecewise linear segments [DS11], their conformal images, and SLE type curves for $\kappa = \gamma^2$ [She16a]. The metric space structure associated with (1.1) has also been recently constructed in [MS15a, MS15b, MS16d, MS16e, MS15c] in the special case that $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$, in which case it is isometric to the Brownian map [Mie13, LG13]. It remains an open question to construct the metric space structure for $\gamma \neq \sqrt{8/3}$.

One of the main sources of significance of LQG is that it has been conjectured that certain forms of LQG decorated with SLE or CLE describe the scaling limit of random planar maps decorated with a statistical physics model after performing a conformal embedding, where different γ values arise by considering different discrete models [DS11]. This conjecture remains open (see [Cur13] for an approach to this problem the case of $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$). However, the convergence of random planar maps decorated with a statistical physics model to LQG decorated with SLE/CLE has been proved with respect to the peanosphere topology, which we will describe below [She16b, DMS14, GMS15, GS15a, GS15b, MS15c, GKMW16, KMSW15, GHS16]. See also the forthcoming work [GM16] for a strengthening of this topology in the case of FK-weighted maps.

If $D, \widetilde{D}$ are planar domains, $\varphi \colon D \to \widetilde{D}$ is a conformal map, and

$$\widetilde{h} = h \circ \varphi^{-1} + Q \log |(\varphi^{-1})'| \quad \text{where} \quad Q = \frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \tag{1.2}$$

then $\mu_h(A) = \mu_{\widetilde{h}}(\varphi(A))$ for all Borel sets $A \subseteq D$. The boundary length measure is similarly preserved under such a change of coordinates. A *quantum surface* is an equivalence class of pairs (D,h) where two such pairs are said to be equivalent if they are related as in (1.2). We refer to a representative (D,h) of a quantum surface as an *embedding* of the quantum surface.

One particular type of quantum surface which will be important in this article is the so-called γ-quantum cone. This is an infinite volume surface which is naturally parameterized by $\mathbb{C}$ and is marked by two points, called 0 and ∞, neighborhoods of which respectively have finite and infinite μ_h-mass. We will keep track of the extra marked points by indicating a γ-quantum cone with the notation $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$. In Section 1.4.2 below, we will describe a precise method for sampling from the law of h for a particular embedding of a γ-quantum cone into $\mathbb{C}$. This surface naturally arises, however, in the context of any γ-LQG surface (D,h) with finite volume as follows. Suppose that $z \in D$ is sampled from μ_h. Then the surface one obtains by adding C to h, translating z to 0, and then rescaling so that μ_h assigns unit mass to $\mathbb{D}$ converges as $C \to \infty$ to a γ-quantum cone. That is, a γ-quantum cone describes the local behavior of a γ-LQG surface near a typical point chosen from μ_h.

As explained in [She16a, DMS14], it is very natural to decorate a γ-LQG surface with either an SLE_κ, $\kappa = \gamma^2$, or an $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' = 16/\gamma^2$. In the case of a γ-quantum cone $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$, it is particularly natural to decorate it with the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ process η' [MS13] where η' is first sampled independently of h (as a curve modulo time parameterization), then reparameterized by quantum area so that $\mu_h(\eta'([s,t])) = t - s$ for all $s < t$, and then normalized so that $\eta'(0) = 0$. In this setting, it is shown in [DMS14] that the pair $Z = (L,R)$ which, for a given time t, is equal to the quantum length of the left and right boundaries of η', evolves as a correlated two-dimensional Brownian motion. Since these quantum boundary lengths are in fact always infinite, it is natural to normalize Z so that $L_0 = R_0 = 0$. By [DMS14, Theorem 9.1] (in the case $\kappa' \in (4,8]$) and [GHMS15, Theorem 1.1] (in the case $\kappa' > 8$), the variances and covariances of L and R are given by

$$\mathrm{Var}(L_t) = a|t|, \quad \mathrm{Var}(R_t) = a|t|, \quad \mathrm{Cov}(L_t, R_t) = -a\cos\theta |t|, \quad \theta = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa'} \tag{1.3}$$

with a a constant depending only on κ'.

One of the main results of [DMS14] is that the pair (L, R) almost surely determines the pair consisting of the γ-quantum cone $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ and the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ process η'. That is, the latter is a measurable function of the former (and it is immediate from the construction that the former is a measurable function of the latter). This is natural in the context of discrete models [She16b] which can also be encoded in terms of an analogous such pair and, in fact, the main result of [She16b] combined with [DMS14] gives the convergence of FK-decorated random planar maps to CLE decorated LQG with respect to the topology in which two surfaces are close if the aforementioned encoding functions are close. This is the so-called *peanosphere* topology.

As explained in Figure 1, L and R have the interpretation of being the contour functions associated with a pair of infinite trees, and $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ and η' have the interpretation of being the embedding of a certain path-decorated surface into $\mathbb{C}$ which is generated by gluing together the pair of trees encoded by L, R [DMS14].

We remark that the construction in [DMS14] deals with the setting of infinite volume surfaces. The setting of finite volume surfaces is the focus of [MS15c] and the corresponding convergence result in the finite volume setting is established in [GMS15, GS15a, GS15b].

1.3 Main result

Our main result is a KPZ formula which allows one to use the representation (L, R) of an SLE decorated quantum cone to compute Hausdorff dimensions for SLE and related processes.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\kappa' > 4$ and $\gamma = 4/\sqrt{\kappa'}$. Let $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ be a γ-quantum cone and let η' be an independent space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, parameterized by γ-quantum mass with respect to h and satisfying $\eta'(0) = 0$. Assume that h has the circle average embedding (see Definition 1.6 below). Let X be a random Borel subset of $\mathbb{C}$ which is independent from h, e.g. one which is a.s. determined by the curve η' viewed modulo monotone reparameterization. Almost surely, for each Borel set $\widehat{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta'(\widehat{X}) = X$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = \left(2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\right) \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X})^2. \tag{1.4}$$

A space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ encodes an entire imaginary geometry of flow lines, which in turn encodes both SLE_κ and $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$-type paths and a $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ (see [MS13] and Section 1.4.3 below). The forthcoming work [MSW16] will show that the imaginary geometry framework also encodes a CLE_κ. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 reduces the computation of the dimension of any set in the interior of a domain associated with SLE_κ or CLE_κ for $\kappa \neq 4$ to computing the dimension of the corresponding set associated with the correlated Brownian motion Z. As we will discuss in Section 1.4 it is often possible to characterize special sets associated with SLE_κ and CLE_κ as time sets of Z with particular properties. Examples of such sets are

1. SLE_κ curves for $\kappa \neq 4$ [Bef08, RS05],
2. double points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $\kappa' > 4$ [MW14],
3. cut points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $\kappa' > 4$ [MW14],
4. intersection of two GFF flow lines [MW14],
5. m-tuple points of space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $m \geq 3$ and $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$, and
6. $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ gasket for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ [MSW14, SSW09].

See Table 1 for a summary of these sets and their dimensions. We will use Theorem 1.1 to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of the first five of these sets in Sections 2 and 6. The original proofs relied on rather technical two-point estimates for correlations, while our formula provides alternative proofs. In cases where the Hausdorff dimension of the SLE set is known, Theorem 1.1 also gives the dimension of the corresponding Brownian motion set. We will use this direction of Theorem 1.1 to calculate the dimension of the Brownian motion time set corresponding to the $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ gasket in Section 2.

SLE set	**SLE** $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}$	**BM set**	**BM** $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}$
SLE_κ trace, $\kappa \in (0,4)$	$1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}$	Running infima of L or R	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ trace, $\kappa' \in (4,8)$	$1 + \frac{\kappa'}{8}$	Ancestor free times	$\frac{\kappa'}{8}$
Cut points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' \in (4,8)$	$3 - \frac{3}{8}\kappa'$	Simultaneous running infima of L and R	$1 - \frac{\kappa'}{8}$
Double points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' \in (4,8)$	$2 - \frac{(12-\kappa')(4+\kappa')}{8\kappa'}$	Composition of subordinators	$\frac{\kappa'}{8} - \frac{1}{2}$
Double points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' > 8$	$1 + \frac{2}{\kappa'}$	Running infima of L or R	$\frac{1}{2}$
GFF flow line intersection with angle gap θ	$2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(\rho + \frac{\kappa}{2} + 2\right)\left(\rho - \frac{\kappa}{2} + 6\right)$, $\rho = \frac{\theta}{\pi}\left(2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) - 2$	Composition of subordinators	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho+2}{\kappa}$
$\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ gasket, $\kappa' \in (4,8)$	$2 - \frac{(8-\kappa')(3\kappa'-8)}{32\kappa'}$	Times not contained in any left $\pi/2$-cone interval	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\kappa'}{16}$
m-tuple points of space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$	$\frac{(4m-4-\kappa'(m-2))(12+(\kappa'-4)m)}{8\kappa'}$	$(m-2)$-tuple $\frac{\pi}{2}$-cone times	$d(\kappa', m)$

Table 1: The sets whose dimension we compute in this paper. Each row shows a set X associated with SLE and a corresponding Brownian motion set $\widehat{X}$ which is contained in $(\eta')^{-1}(X)$. The dimensions of these sets are related as in Theorem 1.1. See Sections 2 and 6 for proofs that the dimensions in the table are as claimed. The quantity in the bottom right cell is $d(\kappa', m) = 1/2 - (m-2)(\kappa'/8 - 1/2)$.

The random set X does not have to be measurable with respect to the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$. However, Theorem 1.1 does not hold without the hypothesis that X is independent from h. For example, suppose we take X to be the γ-thick points of h (see [HMP10] as well as Section 4). The γ-quantum measure μ_h is supported on X [DS11, Proposition 3.4], so since η' is parameterized by quantum mass, we have $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta')^{-1}(X) = 1$. On the other hand, it is shown in [HMP10] that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} X = 2 - \gamma^2/2$, so (1.4) does not hold for this choice of X.

Theorem 1.1 is in agreement with the KPZ formula [KPZ88] if the "quantum dimension" of X is defined to be twice the Hausdorff dimension of the Brownian motion set $\widehat{X}$.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 only applies to sets associated with SLE and CLE in the interior of a domain. In order to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of sets associated with chordal or radial SLE which intersect the boundary one may apply [RV11, Theorem 4.1], which implies the following one-dimensional KPZ formula. Let h be a free boundary GFF in the upper half-plane and let ν_h be the associated boundary measure. Define the quantum dimension of a set $X \subset [0,\infty)$ to be

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}), \qquad \widehat{X} := \{\nu_h([0,x]) : x \in X\}.$$

Then it holds a.s. that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X})^2. \tag{1.5}$$

(The function ζ in the statement of [RV11, Theorem 4.1] can be obtained using the scaling properties of the free boundary GFF and [RV11, Proposition 2.5].) At the end of Section 2 we will include a short example showing how one may use this theorem, combined with results of [DMS14], to obtain the Hausdorff dimension of the points where a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$, $\kappa \in (0,8)$, in $\mathbb{H}$ intersects the real line.

We will now discuss how our version of the KPZ formula relates to other KPZ-type formulas in the literature. The results of [DS11] relate the expected Euclidean mass of the Euclidean δ-neighborhood of a set X to



Figure 1: The peanosphere construction of [DMS14] shows how to obtain a topological sphere by gluing together two correlated Brownian excursions $L, R\colon [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ (A similar construction works when L, R are two-sided Brownian motions, see [DMS14, Footnote 4]). We draw horizontal lines which lie entirely above the graph of $C - L$ or entirely below the graph of R, in addition to vertical lines between the two graphs. We choose $C > 0$ so large that the graphs of $C - L$ and R do not intersect. We then define an equivalence relation by identifying points which lie on the same horizontal or vertical line segment. As explained in [DMS14], it is possible to check using Moore's theorem [Moo28] that the resulting object is a topological sphere decorated with a space-filling path η' where $\eta'(t)$ for $t \in [0,1]$ is the equivalence class of (t, R_t). The pushforward of Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$ induces a so-called good measure μ on the sphere (i.e., a non-atomic measure which assigns positive mass to each open set) and η' is parameterized according μ-area, i.e., $\mu(\eta'([s,t])) = t - s$ for all $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$. In [DMS14], the resulting structure is referred to as a *peanosphere* because the space-filling path η' is the peano curve between the continuum trees encoded by L and R. It is shown in [DMS14] (infinite volume case) and in [MS15c] (finite volume case) that there is a measurable map which associates a pair (L, R) (or equivalently the aforementioned good-measure endowed sphere together with a space-filling path) with an LQG surface decorated with an independent space-filling SLE. That is, the peanosphere comes equipped with a canonical embedding into the Euclidean sphere where the pushforward of μ encodes an LQG surface and η' is a space-filling SLE. The embedding of the trees coded by L and R correspond to trees of flow lines with a common angle in an imaginary geometry [MS13]. The right side of the illustration shows a subset of the SLE-decorated LQG surface, where the green region corresponds to points that are visited by η' before some time t_0, and where the two trees are embeddings of the trees with contour functions L and R. The branches of these continuum random trees correspond to the frontier of the space-filling curve at different times, and the Brownian motions L and R encode the lengths of the left and right, respectively, frontier of the SLE curve. The right figure illustrates the embedding of the peanosphere for the regime when $\kappa' \in [8, \infty)$; for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ the green region on the right figure is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$ since for this range of κ' values, space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ is loop-forming.

the expected quantum mass of the so-called quantum δ-neighborhood of X, which is defined in terms of Euclidean balls of quantum mass $\delta > 0$. The scaling exponents for these dimensions are proven to satisfy the KPZ equation. In [RV11, BJRV13, DRSV14], the authors consider a notion of quantum Hausdorff dimension in terms of the quantum mass of Euclidean balls covering a set X and obtain KPZ formulas relating the a.s. quantum Hausdorff dimension to the a.s. Euclidean Hausdorff dimension. The KPZ relations in the works [DS11, RV11, BJRV13, DRSV14] all rely on the Euclidean geometry, since Euclidean balls or squares are used to cover X. In our formulation, by contrast, we obtain a cover of X by pushing forward a cover of the time set $\widehat{X}$ via the curve η', hence the quantum dimension does not rely on the Euclidean geometry. The version given in [BGRV14] also does not rely on Euclidean geometry because it is defined in terms of the heat kernel for the Liouville Brownian motion (see [GRV13a, Ber13, GRV13b, RV13, Jac14]) which is intrinsic to

the LQG surface. The nature of [BGRV14], however, is quite different from the present work and, as we shall see in Section 2, the formulation considered here appears to be more amenable to explicit calculation.

1.4 Background and notation

We will now describe the basic notation and objects that we will use throughout the paper, including quantum cones, quantum wedges and space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$. We refer the reader to [DMS14, MS13, She16a] for more details.

1.4.1 Notation

We adopt the convention of [MS16a, MS16b, MS16c, MS13] that κ denotes a parameter in $(0,4]$ and $\kappa' := 16/\kappa \in (4,\infty)$. If the κ-value is not restricted to either of the two intervals $(0,4]$ or $(4,\infty)$, we will simply write κ. We also use the following notation.

Notation 1.3. *If a and b are two quantities, we write $a \preceq b$ (resp. $a \succeq b$) if there is a constant C (independent of the parameters of interest) such that $a \leq Cb$ (resp. $a \geq Cb$). We write $a \asymp b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $a \succeq b$.*

Notation 1.4. *If a and b are two quantities which depend on a parameter x, we write $a = o_x(b)$ (resp. $a = O_x(b)$) if $a/b \to 0$ (resp. a/b remains bounded) as $x \to 0$ (or as $x \to \infty$, depending on context). We write $a = o_x^\infty(b)$ if $a = o_x(b^s)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Unless otherwise stated, all implicit constants in $\asymp, \preceq$, and $\succeq$ and $O_x(\cdot)$ and $o_x(\cdot)$ errors involved in the proof of a result are required to satisfy the same dependencies as described in the statement of the result.

1.4.2 Quantum cones and quantum wedges

Fix $\gamma \in (0,2)$. As explained above, a γ-LQG surface is an equivalence class of pairs (D,h), where D is a planar domain and h is an instance of some form of the GFF on D, see e.g [DS11, She16a, DMS14], where two such pairs are said to be equivalent if they are related as in (1.2). The surface (D,h) is equipped with a quantum area measure that can be formally represented as $\mu_h = e^{\gamma h(z)}\, dz$(where dz is Lebesgue measure) as well as a quantum length measure $\nu_h = e^{\gamma h(z)/2} dz$ (where dz is Lebesgue length measure in the case when the boundary is a straight line), which is defined on ∂D as well as on certain curves in the interior of D, including SLE_κ-type curves for $\kappa = \gamma^2$ [She16a]. We refer to a particular choice of equivalence class representative (D,h) as an *embedding* of the quantum surface. If (D,h) and $(\widetilde{D},\widetilde{h})$ are equivalent and $\varphi : D \to \widetilde{D}$ is the conformal map of (1.2), $\mu_{\widetilde{h}}$ is almost surely the push-forward of μ_h under φ, i.e., $\mu_h(A) = \mu_{\widetilde{h}}(\varphi(A))$ for any $A \subseteq D$ [DS11, Proposition 2.1]. A similar statement is true for ν_h.

Several types of quantum surfaces of the form $(D,h,x_1,...,x_k)$, where $x_1,...,x_k \in \overline{D}$ are additional marked points, are introduced in [She16a, DMS14]. Two such surfaces $(D,h,x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ and $(\widetilde{D},\widetilde{h},\widetilde{x}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{x}_k)$ are defined to be equivalent if there exists a conformal map $\varphi\colon D \to \widetilde{D}$ where $\widetilde{h}, h$ are related as in (1.2) and $\varphi(x_j) = \widetilde{x}_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. In this paper we will mainly consider α-quantum cones, $\alpha < Q$, which are a one-parameter family of doubly marked quantum surfaces homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. In Section 2 we will also need some theory of quantum wedges.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the Hilbert space closure modulo a global additive constant of the subspace of functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\|f\|_\nabla^2 := (f,f)_\nabla < \infty$, where $(f,g)_\nabla := (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{C}} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g\, dz$ for $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ for which the integral is well-defined and finite. Let $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the subspace of functions that are radially symmetric about the origin, and let $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the subspace of functions (modulo of global additive constant) which have mean zero about all circles centered at the origin. By [DMS14, Lemma 4.8] we have $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Recall that a whole-plane GFF h is a modulo additive constant distribution on the complex plane (i.e., a continuous linear functional defined on the subspace of functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{C}} f(x)dx = 0$) which can be represented as $h = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_n f_n$, where $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a series of i.i.d. standard normal random variables, and $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 1.5. Let $\alpha \in (0, Q]$. *An α-quantum cone* is the doubly marked quantum surface $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$, where $h = h^\dagger + h^0$ is a random distribution sampled as follows. The radially symmetric function $h^\dagger$ takes the value A_s on $\partial B_{e^{-s}}(0)$, where $A_s = \widetilde{B}_s + \alpha s$ for $\widetilde{B}$ a standard two-sided Brownian motion, conditioned such that $A_s \geq Qs$ for $s < 0$. The distribution h^0 is independent of $h^\dagger$, and is given by the projection of a whole-plane GFF onto $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

There is a two-parameter family of embeddings of a quantum cone into $(\mathbb{C}, 0, \infty)$ (i.e., choices of the distribution h), corresponding to multiplication of $\mathbb{C}$ by a complex number. The distribution h in Definition 1.5 is one such choice.

Definition 1.6. For $\alpha \in (0, Q]$, the *circle average embedding* of an α-quantum cone is the distribution h of Definition 1.5.

Remark 1.7. One of the main reasons why we are interested in the embedding of Definition 1.6 is that under this embedding, $h|_{\mathbb{D}}$ agrees in law with the restriction to $\mathbb{D}$ of a whole-plane GFF plus $-\alpha \log|\cdot|$, with additive constant chosen so that its circle average over $\partial\mathbb{D}$ vanishes. Indeed, this is a straightforward consequence of Definition 1.5.

We refer to [DMS14, Section 4.3] for further details regarding quantum cones.

For $\alpha \leq Q$ (with Q as in (1.2)), an α-quantum wedge is a doubly marked quantum surface, which is homeomorphic $\mathbb{H}$. For $\alpha \in (Q, Q + \frac{\gamma}{2})$ an α-quantum wedge is a Poissonian chain of finite volume doubly-marked quantum surfaces, each of which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}$ and has two marked points. In the first case we say that the quantum wedge is thick, and in the second case it is thin. A thick wedge can be represented as a quantum surface $(\mathbb{H}, h, 0, \infty)$, where $h = h^0 + h^\dagger$ is a decomposition of h into a distribution h^0 of mean zero on all half-circles around the origin, and a radially symmetric function $h^\dagger$. For an α-quantum wedge with $\alpha \leq Q$ which is parameterized by $\mathbb{H}$ one possible embedding is such that the law of $h^\dagger$ on $\mathbb{H} \cap \partial B(0, e^{-s})$ is identical to the function A described above in Definition 1.6, except that $\widetilde{B}_s$ is replaced by $\widetilde{B}_{2s}$.

If we conformally weld the two boundaries of a quantum wedge according to quantum boundary length we obtain a quantum cone. Conversely, we obtain a quantum wedge if we cut out a surface by considering an independent whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$, $\kappa = \gamma^2$, on top of a quantum cone [DMS14, Theorem 1.12], with ρ depending on the parameter α of the cone. We also obtain a wedge by conformally welding together multiple wedges according to quantum boundary length, and we obtain two independent wedges if we cut a wedge into two or several components by a collection of $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ curves for certain values of κ and ρ. Quantum wedges and cones can be parameterized by their weight $W = W(\alpha)$ (which is defined to be $\gamma(\gamma/2 + Q - \alpha)$ for a wedge and $2\gamma(Q - \alpha)$ for a cone) rather than α. The weight of the surfaces is additive under the operations of gluing/welding and cutting as described above.

1.4.3 Space-filling SLE

Ordinary $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ is space-filling only for $\kappa' \geq 8$, but in [MS13] the authors construct a space-filling variant of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\rho^L; \rho^R)$ for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ and $\rho^L, \rho^R > -2$. Roughly speaking, space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\rho^L; \rho^R)$ for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ traces points in the same order as ordinary $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\rho^L; \rho^R)$ but fills in "bubbles" (after these bubbles are disconnected from the target point) with a continuous space-filling loop. Space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\rho^L; \rho^R)$ for $\kappa' \geq 8$, agrees with ordinary $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\rho^L; \rho^R)$.

The chordal version of space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\rho^L; \rho^R)$ from z to w in some domain D, $z, w \in \partial D$, is constructed in [MS13, Sections 1.2.3 and 4.3] using flow lines of a GFF on D. Whole plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ from ∞ to ∞ is constructed by considering two flow lines of a whole-plane GFF from the origin with angle gap π, and considering an independent space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ in each connected component between the two flow lines, see [DMS14, Footnote 9]. Whole-plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ can also be constructed directly by considering flow lines of a whole-plane GFF in the same manner as in [MS13].

Whole plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ encodes a branching $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa' - 6)$ process, which in turn encodes a whole plane $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ [MWW14a,KW14] via the construction in [She09]. See [MWW14a,She09,MSW14,GM16] for more details.

As discussed after the statement of Theorem 1.1, any set associated with a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ or $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ can be encoded in terms of a time set for a correlated Brownian motion Z through the peanosphere construction.

1.5 Outline

Section 2 gives a number of examples of SLE sets of known Hausdorff dimension, for which Theorem 1.1 provides an alternative derivation.

Section 3 contains various SLE and GFF estimates which we will need for the proof of Theorem 1.1, both for the upper bound and for the lower bound. In Section 3.1 we will prove that, with high probability, any segment of a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ curve of diameter $\epsilon > 0$, contains a Euclidean ball of radius $\epsilon^{1+o_\epsilon(1)}$. An interesting corollary of this result is that space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ is a.s. locally α-Hölder continuous for any $\alpha < 1/2$ when parameterized by Lebesgue measure. In Sections 3.2 and 3.3 we will prove some estimates which give that the quantum mass of a small Euclidean ball is unlikely to be much smaller than what is predicted from the GFF circle average process at a nearby point.

In Section 4 we prove that the dimension of the intersection of a general Borel set A with the α-thick points of a GFF h is a.s. equal to $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} A - \alpha^2/2$. The proof is a generalization of the argument in [HMP10], and is used in the proof of the upper bound of Theorem 1.1.

Section 5 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. Unlike for most Hausdorff dimension calculations, the upper bound for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ is more challenging to prove than the lower bound. Using the results of Section 3, we obtain an estimate for the diameter of $\eta'(I)$ for $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, in terms of $\mathrm{diam}(I)$ and the thickness of the field at a point near $\eta'(I)$. This leads to an upper bound for the dimension of the intersection of X with the α-thick points of h in terms of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X})$. Using the result of Section 4 and optimizing over α, we obtain the upper bound in (1.4). The lower bound in (1.4) is proven via a more direct argument based on moment estimates for the quantum measure along with the estimates of Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

In Section 6 we use Theorem 1.1 to give a proof for the Hausdorff dimension of the set of m-tuple points of space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, which in the setting of Theorem 1.1 correspond to the image under η' of the so-called $(m-2)$-tuple cone times of the two-dimensional correlated Brownian motion. An m-tuple cone time can be described by a "cone vector" in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ consisting of m cone times [Shi85, Eva85] for Z and the time-reversal of Z, where the end of one cone excursion marks the beginning of another cone excursion in the opposite direction. We obtain the Hausdorff dimension of the $(m+1)$-dimensional set of cone vectors by standard techniques, including a two-point estimate for correlations, and obtain the dimension of the one-dimensional time set by projection. Our result generalizes the result in [Eva85], where the dimension of the set of single cone times is calculated.

Finally, in Section 7, we list some open problems related to the results of this paper.

Acknowledgements

E.G. was supported by the U.S. Department of Defense via an NDSEG fellowship. N.H. was supported by a fellowship from the Norwegian Research Council. J.M. was partially supported by DMS-1204894. Part of this work was carried out during the Random Geometry semester at the Isaac Newton Institute, Cambridge University, and the authors would like to thank the institute and the organizers of the program for their hospitality. The authors would also like to thank Peter Mörters, Scott Sheffield, and Xin Sun for helpful discussions.

2 Examples

In this section we will use Theorem 1.1 to give alternative proofs of several Hausdorff dimensions already known in the literature, in addition to a calculation of a new Brownian motion dimension for which the corresponding SLE dimension is already known. Throughout, we let η' be a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ on top of an independent γ-quantum cone $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$, $\kappa' > 4$. We parameterize η' by quantum area, and let L and R denote the left and right boundary length process, respectively, relative to time 0. Define $Z = (L, R)$, and recall that Z has the law of a two-dimensional Brownian motion with covariances as in (1.3). Before presenting the examples we recall the definitions of cone times, cone intervals and cone excursions.

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\triangledown(\alpha')$ denote the unit vector in direction α' for any $\alpha' \in (0, 2\pi)$. Then t is an α-cone time of Z if there is a time $s > t$ such that, for each $s' \in [t, s]$, there exists $r_{s'} \in [0, \infty)$ and an angle $\alpha_{s'} \in [0, \alpha]$, satisfying $Z_{s'} = Z_t + r_{s'} \triangledown(\alpha_{s'})$. If we do not specify an angle α, we will assume $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$, i.e., a cone time is a $\frac{\pi}{2}$-cone time.

For a cone time t of Z we define the function v by

$$v(t) = \inf\{s > t \,:\, R_s < R_t \text{ or } L_s < L_t\}. \tag{2.1}$$

The interval $(t, v(t))$ is called a *cone interval* and $Z|_{(t,v(t))}$ is called a *cone excursion*. We say that t is a right cone time for Z if $R_{v(t)} > R_t$ (equivalently, $L_{v(t)} = L_t$), and we say that t is a left cone time for Z if $L_{v(t)} > L_t$ (equivalently, $R_{v(t)} = R_t$).

The Hausdorff dimension of SLE_κ was first calculated in [RS05] and [Bef08]. For $\kappa \in (0, 4)$ we obtain an alternative proof by using that the boundary of $\eta'([0, \infty))$ has the law of an SLE_κ curve.

Example 2.2. *The Hausdorff dimension of an* SLE_κ *curve for* $\kappa \in (0, 4)$ *is a.s. equal to* $1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}$.

Proof. Let $\kappa' := 16/\kappa$. If we stop the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ process η' upon reaching 0, the boundary of the already traced region is given by a flow line and a dual flow line, see [DMS14, Footnote 9]. The marginal law of these flow lines is that of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2 - \kappa)$, see [MS13, Theorem 1.1]. Note that whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2 - \kappa)$ has the same Hausdorff dimension as regular SLE_κ. Indeed, if we grow the curve for a positive amount of time and then conformally map back, the image of the rest of the curve will be a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ with force point at a positive distance from the tip of the growth, and the curve is absolutely continuous with respect to SLE_κ until it interacts with the force point [SW05]. If η' is parameterized by quantum mass, the times at which η' traces the left and right boundaries of $\eta'([0, \infty))$ correspond exactly to the running infima of the left and right boundary length processes L and R, respectively, relative to time 0. This time set has Hausdorff dimension 1/2 [MP10, Theorem 4.24]. Combining with Theorem 1.1 completes the proof. □

The Hausdorff dimension of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$, is obtained by using that $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ corresponds to the so-called *ancestor free times* $(t(s))_{s\geq 0}$ of Z. A time $s \geq 0$ is ancestor free if it is not contained in any cone interval for Z starting at time $t \geq 0$. More precisely, s is ancestor free if there is no $t \in [0, s)$ such that $L_u > L_t$ and $R_u > R_t$ for all $u \in (t, s]$.

Example 2.3. *The Hausdorff dimension of an* $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ *curve for* $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ *is a.s. equal to* $1 + \frac{\kappa'}{8}$.

Proof. Consider the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ η' described above. Let $(t(s))_{s\geq 0}$ denote the inverse of the local time at the ancestor free times of (L, R) relative to $t = 0$, as described in [DMS14, Section 1.7.2]. Conditioned on $\eta'([0, \infty))$ the law of $(\eta'(t(s)))_{s\geq 0}$ is an $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa'/2 - 4; \kappa'/2 - 4)$ in each of the bubbles of $\eta'([0, \infty))$, see [DMS14, Lemma 12.4]. By a similar argument as in Example 2.2, $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa'/2 - 4; \kappa'/2 - 4)$ has the same Hausdorff dimension as an ordinary $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$. By [DMS14, Proposition 1.15], the processes $(L_{t(s)})_{s\geq 0}$ and $(R_{t(s)})_{s\geq 0}$ are independent $\kappa'/4$-stable processes. Hence the range of $(L_{t(s)}, R_{t(s)})_{s\geq 0}$ has dimension $\kappa'/4$; see the discussion right after [PT69, Theorem 1.2]. Kaufman's theorem [MP10, Theorem 9.28] implies that the corresponding time set for (L, R) has dimension $\kappa'/8$. An application of Theorem 1.1 completes the proof. □

The dimension of the cut points and double points of an $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ were first calculated in [MW14]. Recall that the set of cut points of a curve η is the set $\{\eta(t) : t \in (0, \infty),\, \eta((0, t)) \cap \eta((t, \infty)) = \emptyset\}$. The set of local cut points of a curve η is the set $\{\eta(t) : t > 0, \exists s > 0,\, \eta((t - s, t)) \cap \eta((t, t + s)) = \emptyset\}$. The set of cut points of $\eta'|_{[t,\infty)}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is contained in the set of points where the left and the right boundaries of $\eta'([t, \infty))$ meet in the manner described in Figure 2, which in turn corresponds to the set of times when both L and R are at a simultaneous running infimum relative to time t.

Example 2.4. *The Hausdorff dimension of the sets of cut points and local cut points of a chordal, radial, or whole-plane* $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ *for* $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ *are each a.s. equal to* $3 - \frac{3}{8}\kappa'$.

Proof. Let $(t(s))_{s\geq 0}$ be defined as in the proof of Example 2.3. Let $\widehat{\eta}'$ be the curve from ∞ to 0 which is equal to the time-reversal of $(\eta'(t(s)))_{s\geq 0}$. Then $\widehat{\eta}'$ has the law of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa'-6)$ from ∞ to 0, and is the counterflow line from ∞ to 0 of the whole-plane GFF used to construct the time-reversal of η'. See [MS13, DMS14, GM16].

We will first prove that the set of local cut points of $\widehat{\eta}'$ a.s. has dimension $3-3\kappa'/8$. The set of local cut points of $\widehat{\eta}'$ is equal to the union for $t\in\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,\infty)$ of the set of points where the left boundary of $\eta'|_{[t,\infty)}$ hits the right boundary of $\eta'|_{[t,\infty)}$ on the left-hand side (except for the point $\eta'(t)$ itself). By countable stability of Hausdorff dimension and translation invariance, it suffices to show that the dimension of the set of points where the left and right boundaries of $\eta'([0,\infty))$ intersect in this manner a.s. has a dimension of $3-3\kappa'/8$.

The pre-image of this set under η' (parameterized by quantum area) is equal to the set of times when the correlated Brownian motions L and R attain a simultaneous running infimum relative to time 0. A simultaneous running infimum of L and R is the same as a $\pi/2$-cone time t for the time-reversal of (L,R) with the property that 0 is contained in the corresponding cone interval. By [Eva85, Theorem 1] (c.f. the proof of [DMS14, Lemmas 9.4 and 9.5]), it follows that the Hausdorff dimension of this set of times is $1-\kappa'/8$. By applying Theorem 1.1, we obtain that the dimension of this set of intersection points of the boundaries of $\eta'|_{[0,\infty)}$, and hence also the set of local cut points of $\widehat{\eta}'$, is given by $3-3\kappa'/8$.

By local absolute continuity, the a.s. dimension of the set of local cut points of whole-plane, chordal, or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ is the same as the a.s. dimension of the set of local cut points of $\widehat{\eta}'$. By a straightforward argument using the conformal Markov property and endpoint continuity (i.e., transience) of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, we find that the dimension of the set of cut points of each of the three versions of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ is a.s. equal to the dimension of its set of local cut points. □



Figure 2: Illustration of Examples 2.3 and 2.4. The figure shows the west-going (resp. east-going) flow line from the origin in red (resp. blue), and the region $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ is shown in green. The counterflow line $(\eta'(t(s)))_{s\geq 0}$, whose marginal law is a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa'-6)$ from ∞ to 0, is shown in black. The cut points of the counterflow line are contained in the set of points where the west-going flow line from the origin hits the east-going flow line from the origin on the left-hand side. These points of intersection correspond to simultaneous running infima of L and R relative to time 0.

Example 2.5. *The Hausdorff dimension of the double points of* $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ *is a.s. equal to*

$$2-\frac{(12-\kappa')(4+\kappa')}{8\kappa'} \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa'\in(4,8) \quad \text{and} \quad 1+\frac{2}{\kappa'} \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa'\geq 8. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. For $\kappa'\geq 8$ the set of double points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ has the same dimension as the boundary of $\eta'([0,\infty))$, since, conditioned on $\eta'((-\infty,0])$, $(\eta'(t))_{t\geq 0}$ has the law of a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$. It follows that the set of double

points has dimension $1 + 2/\kappa'$, since the left and right boundaries of $\eta'([0,\infty))$ marginally each have the law of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ from 0 to ∞, $\kappa = 16/\kappa'$.

Now assume $\kappa' \in (4,8)$. Recall that the space-filling curve η' encodes a branching $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa'-6)$ started from ∞. When viewing the branch $\widehat{\eta}'_z$ towards some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as a path in the universal cover of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z\}$, the outer boundary of $\widehat{\eta}'_z$ is exactly the boundary of η' stopped upon hitting z. Suppose we condition on $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ and let $z \notin \eta'((-\infty,0])$ be chosen in a manner which is measurable with respect to $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ in such a way that the boundary of the complementary connected component U_z of $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ which contains z has non-trivial arcs traced by each of the two sides of the boundary of $\eta'((-\infty,0])$. Let $\widehat{\tau}_z = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \widehat{\eta}_z(t) \in U_z\}$. The conditional law of $\widehat{\eta}'_z|_{[\widehat{\tau}_z,\infty)}$ given U_z and $\widehat{\eta}'_z(\widehat{\tau}_z)$ is that of a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa'-6)$ from $\widehat{\eta}'_z(\widehat{\tau}_z)$ to z. Thus for any $t > 0$, by [SW05] $\widehat{\eta}'_z|_{[\widehat{\tau}_z+t,\infty)}$ can be coupled together with a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ process $\widehat{\eta}'$ in the component of $U_z \setminus \widehat{\eta}'_z([\widehat{\tau}_z, \widehat{\tau}_z + t])$ containing z from $\widehat{\eta}'_z(\widehat{\tau}_z + t)$ to the location w of the force point of $\widehat{\eta}'_z$ at time $\widehat{\tau}_z + t$ such that $\widehat{\eta}'_z$ and $\widehat{\eta}'$ agree until z and w lie in different connected components of the complement of the curve. It follows that the double point dimension of an $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ is identical to the dimension of the points of intersection between a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ $\widehat{\eta}'$ in one of the bubbles U of $\eta'([0,\infty))$ (say, the first bubble after time 0 having quantum boundary length at least 1) and the boundary of the bubble, where the initial (resp. terminal) point of $\widehat{\eta}'$ is the first (resp. last) point on ∂U visited by the time-reversal of η' and $\widehat{\eta}'$ is otherwise independent of the quantum surface parameterized by U.

Let $\psi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the parameterization of the left boundary of $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and such that for each $0 \leq u < v$ we have that the quantum length of the segment from $\psi(u)$ to $\psi(v)$ is equal to $v - u$. Let $a > 0$ be such that $\psi(a)$ is where the left boundary of $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ first hits ∂U. Let $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R} \times [0,\pi] \to U$ be the unique conformal transformation which takes $-\infty$ (resp. $+\infty$) to the initial (resp. terminal) point of $\widehat{\eta}'$ such that the field $\widehat{h} = h \circ \varphi + Q \log|\varphi'|$ on $\mathbb{R} \times [0,\pi]$ has the horizontal translation chosen so that the supremum of its projection onto the space of functions which are constant on vertical lines is hit at $u = 0$. For each $M \in \mathbb{R}$, let $A_M = \psi^{-1}(\widehat{\eta}' \cap \varphi((-\infty, M] \times \{\pi\}))$. Let $(\widetilde{L}_t, \widetilde{R}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the time-reversal of $(R_t, L_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, so $(\widetilde{L}_t, \widetilde{R}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the pair of Brownian motions encoding the time-reversal of η' on top of the independent quantum cone. Note that $(\widetilde{L}_t, \widetilde{R}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and A_M are independent, since A_M is determined by $\widehat{\eta}'$ and $(L_t, R_t)_{t\geq 0}$ (equivalently, by $\widehat{\eta}'$ and $(\widetilde{L}_t, \widetilde{R}_t)_{t\leq 0}$). If we define

$$\widehat{X} = \{t \geq 0 \,:\, \widetilde{R}_t = \inf_{s\in[0,t]} \widetilde{R}_s,\ \varphi^{-1}(\widehat{\eta}'(t)) \in (-\infty, M] \times \{\pi\}\}$$

then $\eta'(\widehat{X}) = \psi(A_M)$. We claim that for any $M > 0$ the law of the set $A_M - a$ is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the law of the range of a stable subordinator of index $\kappa'/4 - 1$ stopped at some positive time. The law of the left and the right boundary length of $\widehat{\eta}'$ parameterized by quantum natural time is absolutely continuous with respect to a $\kappa'/4$-stable Lévy process with only negative jumps, by [DMS14, Theorem 1.20], and since when mapping U to the strip as above, the law of the restriction of the field to $(-\infty, M] \times [0,\pi]$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the analogous restricted field for a thick quantum wedge of weight $\frac{3\gamma^2}{2} - 2$ [DMS14, Section 4.4, Footnote 8]. Hence our claim follows by [Ber96, Lemma VIII.1].

The set of times $t \geq 0$ when $\widetilde{R}_t = \inf_{s\in[0,t]} \widetilde{R}_s$ has the law of the range of a stable subordinator of index $1/2$. Hence the law of $\widehat{X}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of the range of the composition of two independent subordinators of index $1/2$ and $\kappa'/4 - 1$, respectively. By the text surrounding [BBK+09, equation (5.21)], the law of $\widehat{X}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of the range of a subordinator of index $\kappa'/8 - 1/2$, so $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) = \kappa'/8 - 1/2$. It follows by Theorem 1.1 that (2.2) holds for $\kappa' \in (4,8)$. □

The dimension of the intersection points of two GFF flow lines (in the sense of [MS16a]) was first obtained in [MW14, Theorem 1.5]. In our calculation below we assume the flow lines are generated from the same GFF as η', and we assume without loss of generality that the first flow line is given by the right boundary of $\eta'([0,\infty))$. As we will explain just below, we can sample from the law of the flow line intersection points by considering a Bessel process Y which encodes the quantum wedge which lies between the two flow lines. The Bessel process Y that we consider has a different dimension than the Bessel process in [DMS14, Section 4.4], since the excursions of Y encode quantum boundary lengths rather than quantum areas. We derive the dimension of Y in the following lemma.



Figure 3: The left and right figure illustrate Example 2.5 and Example 2.7, respectively. Both figures illustrate η' stopped at time zero, with the region $\eta'((-\infty,0])$ shown in green. For $\kappa' \in (4,8)$, the $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ double points have the same Hausdorff dimension as the points of intersection between the chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ $\widehat{\eta}'$ in U and the left frontier of $\eta'((-\infty,0])$. The intersection points between the two GFF flow lines on the right figure are calculated by using that the gray region between the curves is a thin quantum wedge.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a (thin) quantum wedge of weight $W \in (0,\gamma^2/2)$. There is a Bessel process Y of dimension $d = 4W/\gamma^2$ with the following property. The ordered sequence of quantum disks of $\mathcal{W}$ correspond to the ordered sequence of the excursions of Y from 0, and the right quantum boundary length of each quantum disk is identical to the length of the corresponding excursion of Y from 0.*

Proof. By [DMS14, Definition 4.13], $\mathcal{W}$ is a Poissonian chain of quantum disks, corresponding to the ordered sequence of excursions from 0 of a Bessel process $\widetilde{Y}$ of dimension $\widetilde{d} = 1+2W/\gamma^2$, such that each surface can be parameterized as follows. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}\times[0,\pi]$, and for each excursion e of $\widetilde{Y}$, let $(\mathcal{S}, h^e)$ be a parameterization of the corresponding surface of $\mathcal{W}$. The distribution h^e is given by $h^e = h^\dagger + h^0$, where $h^\dagger((t,u)) = \widetilde{X}^e_t$ for all $(t,u)\in\mathcal{S}$, $\widetilde{X}^e_t$ is equal to the reparameterization of $2\gamma^{-1}\log(e)$ to have quadratic variation $2dt$, and h^0 is independent of $h^\dagger$ and equal in law to the projection of a free boundary GFF onto the space of distributions with mean 0 on each vertical line. Let $a = W/\gamma - \gamma/2$. If we take the horizontal translation so that $\widetilde{X}^e$ reaches its supremum at $t=0$, then by [DMS14, Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, Remark 3.7], we have $\widetilde{X}^e_t = \widetilde{B}^{e,1}_{2t} + at$ for $t\geq 0$, and $\widetilde{X}^e_t = \widetilde{B}^{e,2}_{-2t} - at$ for $t<0$, where $\widetilde{B}^{e,1}$ and $\widetilde{B}^{e,2}$ are two independent Brownian motions started from $\widetilde{b}^e := 2\gamma^{-1}\log(\sup(e))$, conditioned on $\widetilde{X}^e_t \leq \widetilde{b}^e$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. (We note that since $a<0$, this conditioning can be made sense of as in [DMS14, Remark 4.3].)

The conditional expectation of the right quantum boundary length of $(\mathcal{S},h^e)$ given $(\widetilde{X}^e_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is proportional to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(\gamma\widetilde{X}^e_t/2)\,dt = 4\int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp(\gamma X^e_t)\,dt, \tag{2.3}$$

where $X^e_t = B^{e,1}_{2t} + 2at$ for $t\geq 0$ and $X^e_t = B^{e,2}_{-2t} - 2at$ for $t<0$, and $B^{e,1}$ and $B^{e,2}$ are two independent Brownian motions started from $b^e := \widetilde{b}^e/2$, conditioned on $X^e_t \leq b^e$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

We will argue that we obtain a Bessel process of dimension $d := 2\widetilde{d}-2 = \frac{4W}{\gamma^2}$ if we reparameterize $\exp(\gamma X^e_t/2)$ to have quadratic variation $2dt$ for each e, and concatenate the resulting excursions in the order given by $\widetilde{Y}$. By (2.3) and [DMS14, Remark 4.14, equations (4.3) and (4.4)] this will imply that the collection of conditional expected right boundary lengths of $\mathcal{W}$, given the projection of each h^e onto the space of functions that are constant on $\{t\}\times[0,\pi]$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$, has the law of the excursion lengths of a Bessel process of dimension d. By the same argument as in the proof of [DMS14, Proposition 4.16], this implies that there is a d-dimensional Bessel process Y such that the length of an excursion e is equal to the actual quantum boundary length of the corresponding surface, hence we can conclude the proof of the lemma.

By [DMS14, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.6, Remark 3.7] we would obtain a Bessel process of dimension d by the above procedure, given that the collection of maxima b^e of the processes X^e_t has the right law, since we know

that the drift $\pm 2a$ of X_t^e corresponds to a Bessel process of dimension d; see [DMS14, Table 1.1]. Given an excursion e define $\widetilde{e}^* := \sup(e)$, and note that $e^* := (\widetilde{e}^*)^{1/2}$ is the maximum of the excursion obtained by reparameterizing $\exp(\gamma X_t^e/2)$. By [DMS14, Remark 3.7] the law of $\widetilde{e}^*$ can be described by considering a Poisson point process of intensity $ds \otimes u^{\widetilde{d}-3}\, du$, where ds and du denote Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$. A realization of the Poisson point process is a collection of points $(s, \widetilde{e}^*)$, where the second coordinate gives the maximum value of a Bessel excursion, and the Bessel excursions are ordered chronologically by the first coordinate. The collection of points $(s, e^*) = (s, (\widetilde{e}^*)^{1/2})$ has the law of a Poisson point process of intensity proportional to $ds \otimes u^{2\widetilde{d}-5}\, du = ds \otimes u^{d-3}\, du$, hence our wanted result follows. □

Example 2.7. *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $\theta := \theta_1 - \theta_2 \in (0, \pi]$. Consider two flow lines η_{θ_i}, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, of a whole-plane GFF $\widehat{h}$, started from $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (in the sense of [MS13]). If $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi\kappa}{4-\kappa} \wedge \pi]$ the Hausdorff dimension of $\eta_{\theta_1} \cap \eta_{\theta_2}$ is a.s. given by*

$$2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(\rho + \frac{\kappa}{2} + 2\right)\left(\rho - \frac{\kappa}{2} + 6\right),$$

where $\rho = \theta(2 - \kappa/2)/\pi - 2$. If $\kappa \leq 2$ and $\theta \in [\frac{\pi\kappa}{4-\kappa}, \pi]$, the flow lines do not intersect.

Proof. By [MS13, Theorem 1.1], η_{θ_1} has the law of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$. By [MS13, Theorem 1.11], the conditional law of η_{θ_2} given η_{θ_1} is that of a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho_1; \rho_2)$ from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\eta_{\theta_1}$, where $\rho_i = W_i - 2$, $W_1 = \theta(2 - \gamma^2/2)/\pi \geq 0$, $W_2 = W - W_1 \geq 0$ and $W = 4 - \gamma^2$. Note that $\rho_1 = \rho$, with ρ as defined in the statement of the example. Let $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ be a weight-W quantum cone (equivalently, a γ-quantum cone) independent of η_{θ_i}, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and assume w.l.o.g. that $z = 0$. By [DMS14, Theorem 1.9], the quantum surface $\mathcal{W}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_2$) having η_{θ_1} as left (resp. right) boundary and η_{θ_2} as right (resp. left) boundary, is a quantum wedge of weight W_1 (resp. W_2). If $\kappa \leq 2$ and $\theta \in [\frac{\pi\kappa}{4-\kappa}, \pi]$, $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2$ are thick wedges, implying that $\eta_{\theta_1} \cap \eta_{\theta_2} = \{0\}$. Assume $\kappa > 2$ or $\theta \notin [\frac{\pi\kappa}{4-\kappa}, \pi]$. By Lemma 2.6, there is a Bessel process $\widehat{B}$ of dimension $d = 4W_1/\gamma^2$, such that the ordered lengths of its excursions from 0, are identical to the ordered sequence of the right boundary lengths of the bubbles. By the comment right after [Ber99, Proposition 2.2], there is a subordinator S_1 of index $\alpha_1 = 1 - d/2$ such that the zero set of $\widehat{B}$ is equal to its range.

Let η' be a whole-plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ from ∞ to ∞ as above. The right boundary of $\eta'([0, \infty))$ has the law of an $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$, so we can assume w.l.o.g. that η_{θ_1} is equal to the right boundary of $\eta'([0, \infty))$.

Let $\widehat{X} \subset [0, \infty)$ be the set of times that $\eta'|_{[0,\infty)}$ visits a point in $\eta_{\theta_1} \cap \eta_{\theta_2}$ for the first time. Note that $\eta'|_{[0,\infty)}$ visits a point in η_{θ_1} exactly when R is equal to its running infimum since time zero, and η' visits a point in the intersection $\eta_{\theta_1} \cap \eta_{\theta_2}$ when the additional condition $-R_t \in S_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ holds. Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(Z_t)_{t\in\widehat{X}} = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}\{(L_t, R_t) : -R_t \in S_1(\mathbb{R}^+),\, R_t = \inf_{0\leq s\leq t} R_s\}.$$

The set of times t where $R_t = \inf_{0\leq s\leq t} R_s$, is equal to the range of a stable subordinator S_2 of index $\alpha_2 = 1/2$, such that $S_2(x)$ is the first time that R_t hits $-x$, for any $x \geq 0$. It follows that $\widehat{X} = S_2(S_1(\mathbb{R}^+))$. By [HP74, Theorem 4.1] it holds a.s. that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) = \alpha_1\alpha_2 = 1/2 - W_2/\gamma^2 = 1/2 - (\rho + 2)/\kappa$. Applying Theorem 1.1 completes the proof. □

In our final application of Theorem 1.1 we will use the theorem in the reverse direction as compared to the examples above. In particular, we use the dimension of the $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ gasket determined in [SSW09, MSW14] for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ to calculate the dimension of times not contained in any left cone intervals for a correlated Brownian motion. Recall that a left (resp. right) cone interval for $Z|_{[0,\infty)}$ is a time interval $(s, t) \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $R_u \geq R_s$ and $L_u \geq L_s$ for all $u \in (s, t)$, and such that $R_s = R_t$ (resp. $L_s = L_t$). Furthermore a reverse cone interval for $Z|_{[0,\infty)}$ is a time interval $(s, t) \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $(-t, -s)$ is a cone interval for the time-reversal $(Z_{-t})_{t\leq 0}$ of Z.

Example 2.8. *Let $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$. Consider $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and let $\widehat{X}$ be the set of times that are not contained in any left cone intervals, i.e.,*

$$\widehat{X} = [0, \infty)\backslash\{u \geq 0 : \exists \text{ left cone interval } (s, t), 0 \leq s < t,\ \text{s.t. } u \in (s, t)\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\kappa'}{16}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider a reverse right cone interval (t_1, t_2), $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$, and prove that the set

$$\widehat{X}' = (t_1, t_2) \backslash \{u \in (t_1, t_2) : \exists \text{ a reverse left cone interval } (s_1, s_2) \subset (t_1, t_2), s_1 < s_2, \text{ s.t. } u \in (s_1, s_2)\} \quad (2.5)$$

satisfies $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}') = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\kappa'}{16}$. This is sufficient by invariance under time-reversal of Brownian motion, since any compact subset of $[0, \infty)$ is a.s. contained in some reverse right interval (possibly starting before time 0), and since the interval $[0, \infty)$ a.s. contains some reverse right cone interval by [Eva85]. As mentioned in Section 1.4, η' encodes a whole-plane $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$. The interior U of the image of the reverse right cone interval (t_1, t_2) under η' is a "bubble" disconnected from ∞ by η', with the boundary traced in the clockwise direction by η'. The restriction of the $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ to U has the law of a $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ in U. It follows from e.g. [She09, MSW14, GM16] that the interiors of the outermost $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ loops in U associated with the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ η' correspond to outermost reverse left cone excursions of $Z|_{[t_1,t_2]}$. The gasket of the $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ in U is the set of points in U not contained in the interiors of any of these loops. The result now follows from Theorem 1.1, since we know by [MSW14, SSW09] that the $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ gasket has dimension $2 - (8 - \kappa')(3\kappa' - 8)/(32\kappa')$. □

The final example in this section will be an application of [RV11, Theorem 4.1] to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of the points of intersection between the real line and a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$, $\kappa > 0$, in the upper half-plane where ρ is in the range of values in which the process does not fill the boundary. This Hausdorff dimension was first obtained in [AS08] for the special case $\rho = 0$ and $\kappa > 4$, and the formula was proved for general values of ρ and κ in [MW14, Theorem 1.6]. Our main result Theorem 1.1 cannot be used in this setting, since it only applies to SLE and CLE sets in the interior of a domain.

Example 2.9. *Let $\kappa > 0$, $\kappa \neq 4$, and $\rho \in (-2 \vee (\frac{\kappa}{2} - 4), \frac{\kappa}{2} - 2)$, and consider an $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ η on $\mathbb{H}$ from 0 to ∞ with force-point at 0^+. Almost surely,*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta \cap \mathbb{R}_+) = 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\rho + 2)\left(\rho + 4 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right).$$

Proof. First we consider the case $\kappa > 4$, hence we will write κ' instead of κ and η' instead of η. Let η' be an $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ curve on top of an independent quantum wedge $(\mathbb{H}, h, 0, \infty)$ of weight $\frac{3\gamma^2}{2} - 2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\rho$. Let D be the open subset of $\mathbb{H}$ which is between the right boundary of η' and $[0, \infty)$. By [DMS14, Theorem 1.17], $(D, h, 0, \infty)$ has the law of a thin quantum wedge of weight $W = \gamma^2 - 2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\rho$. Defining $\widehat{X} \subset [0, \infty)$ by $\widehat{X} := \{\nu([0, x]) : x \in \eta' \cap \mathbb{R}_+\}$ it follows by Lemma 2.6 and [Ber99, Proposition 2.2] that $\widehat{X}$ has the law of the range of a stable subordinator of index $\kappa'/4 - 1 - \rho/2$, hence $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) = \kappa'/4 - 1 - \rho/2$. An application of (1.5) completes the proof. Note that we may apply this formula by absolute continuity of a free boundary GFF and the field associated with a quantum wedge, assuming the fields are restricted to domains bounded away from the two marked points of the wedge.

We proceed by the exact same argument when $\kappa \in (0, 4)$, except that we apply [DMS14, Theorem 1.9] instead of [DMS14, Theorem 1.17]. Alternatively, we may obtain this dimension by using the result for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ and SLE duality [Zha08, Zha10, Dub09, MS16a, MS13]. □

3 SLE and GFF estimates

In this section we will prove various estimates for space-filling SLE and for GFFs which we will need in the sequel.

3.1 SLE estimates

The goal of this subsection is to prove that it is unlikely that a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ travels a long distance without filling in a big ball. More precisely,

Proposition 3.1. *Let η' be a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ from ∞ to ∞ in $\mathbb{C}$. For $r \in (0,1)$, $R > 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon = \mathcal{E}_\epsilon(R,r)$ be the event that the following is true. For each $\delta \in (0,\epsilon]$ and each $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta'([a,b]) \subset B_R(0)$ and $\operatorname{diam} \eta'([a,b]) \geq \delta^{1-r}$, the set $\eta'([a,b])$ contains a ball of radius at least δ. Then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_\epsilon) = 1.$$

Proposition 3.1 yields as a corollary the optimal Hölder exponent for η' when it is parameterized by Lebesgue measure.

Corollary 3.2. *Let η' be a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ from ∞ to ∞ in $\mathbb{C}$, parameterized by Lebesgue measure. Almost surely, η' is locally Hölder continuous with any exponent $< 1/2$, and is not locally Hölder continuous with any exponent $> 1/2$.*

Proof. Since η' is parameterized by Lebesgue measure, we always have $\operatorname{diam} \eta'([a,b]) \geq 2\pi^{-1/2}(b-a)^{1/2}$, so η' cannot be Hölder continuous for any exponent $> 1/2$.

Conversely, it suffices to prove the Hölder continuity of the restriction of η' to $(\eta')^{-1}(B_R(0))$ for some fixed $R > 0$. Also fix $r > 0$. By Proposition 3.1, it is a.s. the case that for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ and each $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta'([a,b]) \subset B_{2R}(0)$ and $\operatorname{diam} \eta'([a,b]) \geq \delta^{1-r}$, the set $\eta'([a,b])$ contains a ball of radius at least δ, whence $b - a \geq \pi\delta^2$. Hence for sufficiently small δ, it holds that whenever $a, b \in (\eta')^{-1}(B_R(0))$ with $a < b$ and $b - a \leq \pi^{1/2}\delta$, we have $\operatorname{diam} \eta'([a,b]) \leq \delta^{(1-r)/2}$. This proves the desired Hölder continuity. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let η' be a space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ from ∞ to ∞ in $\mathbb{C}$. Fix $r \in (0,1)$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let τ_z be the first time η' hits z and let σ_z^ϵ be the first time after τ_z that η' leaves $B_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)$. Let $E_\epsilon(z)$ be the event that $\eta'([\tau_z, \sigma_z^\epsilon])$ contains a ball of radius at least ϵ. There is a constant $a > 0$, independent of z and ϵ, such that for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(E_\epsilon(z)^c) \leq e^{-a\epsilon^{-r}}.$$

Proof. Let $\overline{\eta}'$ be the time-reversal of η'. By the reversibility of whole-plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ from ∞ to ∞, we know that $\overline{\eta}'$ is a whole-plane space-filling SLE from ∞ to ∞. Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and let η'_z be the process which arises by parameterizing $\overline{\eta}'$ by capacity as seen from z. That is, η'_z is the branch targeted at z of the branching whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa' - 6)$ process used to construct $\overline{\eta}'$. Let $\overline{\eta}'_z$ be the time-reversal of η'_z. By reversibility of whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa' - 6)$ [MS13, Theorem 1.20], $\overline{\eta}'_z$ has the law of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa' - 6)$ from z to ∞. We take $\overline{\eta}'_z$ to be parameterized by capacity (as seen from ∞), and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we let K_t^z be its time t hull.

Fix $C > 1$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $N_\epsilon := \lfloor C^{-1}\epsilon^{-r} \rfloor$. For $k \in \{0, \dots, N_\epsilon\}$, let τ_ϵ^k be the exit time of $\overline{\eta}'_z$ from $B_{kC\epsilon}(z)$ and for $k \in \{1, \dots, N_\epsilon\}$, let $F_\epsilon^k(z)$ be the event that $K^z_{\tau_\epsilon^k} \setminus K^z_{\tau_\epsilon^{k-1}}$ contains a ball of radius ϵ. Since η' traces points in the same order as $\overline{\eta}'_z$ after it hits z, it follows that $K^z_{\tau_\epsilon^{N_\epsilon}} \subset \eta'([\tau_z, \sigma_z^\epsilon])$, whence $\bigcup_{k=1}^{N_\epsilon} F_\epsilon^k(z) \subset E_\epsilon(z)$.

We first argue that if we choose $C > 1$ sufficiently large and $p \in (0,1)$ sufficiently small, independently of ϵ, z, and k, then

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(F_\epsilon^k(z) \,|\, \overline{\eta}'_z|_{(-\infty, \tau_z^{k-1}]} \Big) \geq p. \tag{3.1}$$

To see this, we observe that the conditional law of $\overline{\eta}'_z|_{[\tau_\epsilon^{k-1}, \infty)}$ given $\overline{\eta}'_z|_{(-\infty, \tau_\epsilon^{k-1}]}$ is that of a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}(\kappa' - 6)$ from $\overline{\eta}'_z(\tau_\epsilon^{k-1})$ to ∞ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus K^z_{\tau_\epsilon^{k-1}}$. Our claim therefore follows from, e.g., [MW14, Lemma 2.5] (see also [MS13, Section 4.3] and [DMS14, Section 10.4] for similar arguments).

If $F_\epsilon^k(z)$ occurs for even one k, then $E_\epsilon(z)$ occurs. It therefore follows from (3.1) that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_\epsilon(z)^c) \leq (1-p)^{N_\epsilon} \leq e^{-a\epsilon^{-r}}$$

for an appropriate choice of $a > 0$ as in the statement of the lemma. □

We now want to extract Proposition 3.1 from Lemma 3.3. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho) := B_\rho(0) \cap (\epsilon\mathbb{Z}^2). \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.3 tells us that with high probability, each segment of the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ curve η' which has diameter at least ϵ^{1-r} and which starts from the first time η' hits a point of $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ contains a ball of radius at least ϵ. However, it is still possible that there exists a segment of η' contained in $B_R(0)$ for $R < \rho$ which has diameter $> \epsilon^{1-r}$, fails to contain a ball of radius ϵ, and fails to contain any point of $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$. In the remainder of this subsection, we will rule out this possibility.

To this end, we will view the space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ curve η' as being coupled with a whole-plane GFF h, defined modulo a global additive multiple of $2\pi\chi$, as in [MS13]. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\eta_z^\pm$ be the flow lines of h started from z with angles $\mp\pi/2$. By (the whole-plane analogue of) the construction of space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ in [MS13], the flow lines $\eta_z^\pm$ form the left and right boundaries of η' stopped at the first time it hits z.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose we are in the setting described just above. Fix $R > 0$. For $\rho > R$, let $\widetilde{F}(\rho) = \widetilde{F}(\rho, R)$ be the event that the following is true. For each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $z_0, w_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho) \setminus B_R(0)$ such that the flow lines $\eta_{w_0}^-$ and $\eta_{z_0}^-$ and the flow lines $\eta_{z_0}^+$ and $\eta_{w_0}^+$ merge and form a pocket containing $B_R(0)$ before leaving $B_\rho(0)$. Then for each fixed $R > 0$, we have $\mathbb{P}\Big(\widetilde{F}(\rho)\Big) \to 1$ as $\rho \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let t_R (resp. t'_R) be the first time η' hits (resp. finishes filling in) $B_R(0)$. For $\rho_1 > \rho_0 > R$, let τ_{ρ_0} be the first time η' hits $B_{\rho_0}(0)$ and let $\sigma_{\rho_0}^{\rho_1}$ be the first time after τ_{ρ_0} at which η' leaves $B_{\rho_1}(0)$. Since η' is a.s. continuous and a.s. hits every point of $\mathbb{C}$, it follows that there a.s. exists a random $\rho_1 > \rho_0 > R$ such that the following is true.

1. $\tau_{\rho_0} < t_R < t'_R < \sigma_{\rho_0}^{\rho_1}$.
2. $\eta'([\tau_{\rho_0}, t_R])$ and $\eta'([t'_R, \sigma_{\rho_0}^{\rho_1}])$ each contain a ball of radius 1.

For this choice of ρ_0, ρ_1 and any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $z_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho_1) \cap \eta'([\tau_{\rho_0}, t_R])$ and $w_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho_1) \cap \eta'([t'_R, \sigma_{\rho_0}^{\rho_1}])$. By the construction of space-filling SLE, the pocket formed by the flow lines $\eta_{z_0}^\pm$ and $\eta_{w_0}^\pm$ stopped at the first time they merge is precisely the set of points traced by η' between the first time it hits z_0 and the first time it hits w_0. Since $\eta'([\tau_{\tau_0}, \sigma_{\rho_0}^{\rho_1}]) \subset B_{\rho_1}(0)$ and $\eta'([t_R, t'_R]) \supset B_R(0)$, it follows that this pocket contains $B_R(0)$ and is contained in $B_{\rho_1}(0)$. Since ρ_1 is a.s. finite, it follows that $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 < \rho) \to 1$ as $\rho \to \infty$. The statement of the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose we are in the setting described just above Lemma 3.4. Fix $R > 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho > R$, let $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\rho)$ be the set of complementary connected components of*

$$\bigcup_{z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)} (\eta_z^+ \cup \eta_z^-)$$

which intersect $B_R(0)$. For $r \in (0,1)$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon(\rho) = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon(\rho; R, r)$ be the event that $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\rho)} \operatorname{diam} P \le \epsilon^{1-r}$. Also let $\widetilde{F}(\rho)$ be defined as in Lemma 3.4. Then for each fixed $\rho > R$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon(\rho)^c \cap \widetilde{F}(\rho)\Big) \le \rho^2 o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon)$$

at a rate depending only on R.

Proof. The proof given here is more or less implicit in the proof of continuity of space-filling SLE in [MS13, Section 4.3], but we give a full proof for completeness. See Figure 4 for an illustration.

For $\rho > R$ and $z \in B_\rho(0)$, let $\widetilde{E}_\epsilon^z(\rho)$ be the event that the following is true. There exists $w \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ such that $w \neq z$ and the curve η_z^- hits (and subsequently merges with) η_w^- on its left side before leaving $\partial B_{\epsilon^{1-r}/8}(z)$; and the same is true with η_z^+ in place of η_z^- and/or "right" in place of "left". Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_\epsilon(\rho) := \bigcap_{z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)} \widetilde{E}_\epsilon^z(\rho).$$

By [MS13, Proposition 4.14] [3] we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{E}_\epsilon^z(\rho)^c\right) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon)$, so by the union bound, $\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon'(\rho)\right) = 1 - \rho^2 o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon)$. Hence to complete the proof it suffices to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon'(\rho) \cap \widetilde{F}(\rho) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon(\rho)$.

We first argue that on $\widetilde{F}(\rho)$, the boundary of each $P \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\rho)$ is entirely traced by curves $\eta_z^\pm$ for $z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$. To see this, let $z_0, w_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ be as in the definition of $\widetilde{F}(\rho)$ and let P_0 be the pocket formed by $\eta_{z_0}^\pm$ and $\eta_{w_0}^\pm$ surrounding $B_R(0)$. Then for $z \notin B_\rho(0)$, the flow lines $\eta_z^\pm$ cannot cross ∂P_0 without merging into $\eta_{z_0}^\pm$, hence cannot enter $B_R(0)$ without merging into flow lines $\eta_z^\pm$ for $z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$.

Now suppose $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon'(\rho) \cap \widetilde{F}(\rho)$ occurs and $P \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\rho)$. We must show $\operatorname{diam} P \le \epsilon^{1-r}$. Since $\widetilde{F}(\rho)$ occurs, ∂P consists of either an arc of a single flow line $\eta_z^\pm$ for $z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$; two arcs traced by a pair of flow lines η_z^- and η_z^+ for some $z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$; or four arcs traced by $\eta_z^\pm$ and $\eta_w^\pm$ for some $z, w \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$.

Suppose first that we are in the latter case, i.e., that there exists $z, w \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ with the property that ∂P contains non-trivial arcs traced by the left side of η_z^-, the right side of η_z^+, the right side of η_w^-, and the right side of η_w^+. Let I_z^- be the arc of ∂P traced by the left side of η_z^-. The curve η_z^- cannot hit η_v^- for any $v \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ on its left side before η_z^- finishes tracing I_z^- (otherwise part of this arc I_z^- would lie on the boundary of a pocket other than P). The same is true if we replace η_z^- with one of the other three arcs of ∂P in our description of ∂P. Since $\widetilde{E}_\epsilon^z(\rho) \cap \widetilde{E}_\epsilon^w(\rho)$ occurs, each of these four arcs has diameter at most $\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{1-r}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{diam} P \le \epsilon^{1-r}$. By a similar argument, but with only one or two distinguished boundary arcs instead of four, we obtain $\operatorname{diam} P \le \epsilon^{1-r}$ in the cases when ∂P is traced by a single flow line $\eta_z^\pm$ for $z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$; or in the case when ∂P is traced by a pair of flow lines η_z^- and η_z^+ for $z \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon'(\rho) \cap \widetilde{F}(\rho) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon(\rho)$, as required. □

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Fix $r' \in (0, r)$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let k_ϵ be the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-k} \ge \epsilon$. Let $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^1$ be the event that the following is true. For each $k \ge k_\epsilon$ and each $z \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{-k}}(2R)$ (defined as in (3.2)), the event $E_{2^{-k}}(z)$ of Lemma 3.3 occurs, with r' in place of r. By Lemma 3.3 and the union bound, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^1\right) = 1 - o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon).$$

Fix $\rho > R$ to be chosen later and define the event $\widetilde{F}(\rho)$ as in Lemma 3.4 and the events $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon(\rho)$ as in Lemma 3.5, the latter with r' in place of r. Also let $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\rho)$ be the set of pockets as defined in Lemma 3.5. Let

$$\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^2(\rho) := \bigcup_{k=k_\epsilon}^\infty \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{2^{-k}}(\rho).$$

By Lemma 3.5, the union bound, and the argument given just above, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^1 \cap \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^2(\rho) \cap \widetilde{F}(\rho)\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{F}(\rho)\right) - \rho^2 o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{F}(\rho)\right) \to 1$ as $\rho \to \infty$ (by Lemma 3.4), to complete the proof of the proposition it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^1 \cap \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^2(\rho) \subset \mathcal{E}_\epsilon$ for each choice of $\rho > R$ and each sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

To this end, suppose $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^1 \cap \mathcal{E}_\epsilon^2(\rho)$ occurs and we are given $\delta \in (0, \epsilon]$ and $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta'([a,b]) \subset B_R(0)$ and $\operatorname{diam} \eta'([a,b]) \ge \delta^{1-r}$. Let t_* be the smallest $t \in [a,b]$ such that $\operatorname{diam} \eta'([a,t_*]) \ge \frac{1}{2}\delta^{1-r}$. Let k_δ be the largest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-k} \ge \delta$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{2^{-k_\delta}}(\rho)$ occurs with r' in place of r, it follows that for sufficiently small ϵ, the set $\eta'([a,t_*])$ is not contained in any single pocket in $\mathcal{P}_{2^{-k_\delta}}(\rho)$. Whenever η' exits a pocket in $\mathcal{P}_{2^{-k_\delta}}(\rho)$, it hits a point $z \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{-k_\delta}}(2R)$ for the first time. Hence there exists $t_{**} \in [a, t_*]$ and $z \in \mathcal{S}_{2^{-k_\delta}}(2R)$ such that η' hits z for the first time at time t_{**}. The set $\eta'([t_{**}, b])$ has diameter at least $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{1-r}$. Since $E_{2^{-k_\delta}}(z)$ occurs, it follows that this set contains a ball of radius δ. Thus $\eta'([a,b])$ contains a ball of radius δ, which concludes the proof. □

[3] The statement of [MS13, Proposition 4.14] does not specify the side at which the merging occurs, but the proof shows that we can require the merging to occur on a particular side of the curve.



Figure 4: An illustration of the proof of Lemma 3.5. On the event $\widetilde{F}(\rho)$, there exists points z_0 and w_0 in the lattice $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ such that the flow lines $\eta^\pm_{z_0}$ and $\eta^\pm_{w_0}$ intersect at points shown in orange to form a pocket P_0 which separates $B_R(0)$ from $\partial B_\rho(0)$. Also shown are four points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(\rho)$ and three pockets $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\rho)$ formed by the flow lines started at these points. Flow lines $\eta^-_{z_i}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ are shown in red, and flow lines $\eta^+_{z_i}$ are shown in blue. The points where two of these flow lines merge are shown in orange. The pockets P_1 and P_2 are of the type with boundary arcs traced by four flow lines started from two points, the pocket P_3 is of the type with boundary arcs traced by two flow lines started from a single point, and the pocket P_4 is of the type traced by a single flow line.

Remark 3.6. If we assume additional facts about space-filling SLE which will be proven in the forthcoming work [HS16], our proof yields a more quantitative version of Proposition 3.1, namely that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_\epsilon^c) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon). \tag{3.3}$$

Indeed, it will be shown in [HS16] that there exists some $\xi = \xi(\kappa) > 0$ such that if η' is parameterized by Lebesgue measure with $\eta'(0) = 0$, then for $R > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(B_R(0) \not\subset \eta'([-C, C])) \preceq C^{-\xi} \quad \forall C > 0, \tag{3.4}$$

with the implicit constant independent of C. We claim that this estimate implies that the conclusion of Lemma 3.4 (for fixed choice of R) can be improved to

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{F}(\rho)\right) \leq \rho^{-2\xi + o_\rho(1)}. \tag{3.5}$$

It is immediate from Lemma 3.3 that except on an event of probability $o_C^\infty(C)$ as $C \to \infty$, the set $\eta'([-2C, 2C])$ is contained in $B_{C^{1/2+o_C(1)}}(0)$. By (3.4), the set $\eta'([-C, C])$ contains $B_R(0)$ except on an event of probability $O_C(C^{-\xi})$. By Lemma 3.3 and translation invariance of η' parameterized by Lebesgue measure (which is proven in [HS16]), each of $\eta'([C, 2C])$ and $\eta'([-2C, -C])$ contains a ball of radius 1 with high probability. Taking $C = \rho^{2+o_\rho(1)}$ and the points $z_0, w_0 \in S_\epsilon(\rho)$ in Lemma 3.4 to be contained in these balls of radius 1 yields (3.5). We now obtain (3.3) by taking $\rho = \epsilon^{-N}$ for large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and using (3.5) instead of Lemma 3.4 in the above proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.2 Estimate for the quantum measure

The following lemma will reduce the problem of estimating the γ-quantum measure of a quantum cone (i.e., the quantum surface appearing in Theorem 1.1) to the problem of estimating the quantum measure induced by a whole-plane Gaussian free field.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\alpha < Q$ and let h be the circle-average embedding of an α-quantum cone (Definition 1.6). Also let $\widetilde{h}$ be a whole-plane GFF normalized so that its circle average over $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is 0. There exists $c > 0$ depending only on α and γ and a coupling of h and $\widetilde{h}$ such that $h - \widetilde{h}$ is a.s. a continuous function and for each $R > 1$ and each $M > 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{z \in B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)} |(h - \widetilde{h})(z)| > M \right) \preceq e^{-cM} \tag{3.6}$$

with the implicit constant depending only on R, α, and γ.

Note that if h and $\widetilde{h}$ are coupled as in the statement of the lemma, the γ-quantum area measures μ_h and $\mu_{\widetilde{h}}$ are a.s. mutually absolutely continuous on $B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)$ and the estimate (3.6) implies that the Radon-Nikodym derivative satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{z \in B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)} \left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \frac{d\mu_h}{d\mu_{\widetilde{h}}}(z) \right| > M \right) \preceq e^{-cM}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.7. For $r > 0$ let $h_r(0)$ and $\widetilde{h}_r(0)$ be the circle averages of h and $\widetilde{h}$, respectively, over $\partial B_r(0)$. By Definition 1.5, the laws of $h - h_{|\cdot|}(0)$ and $\widetilde{h} - \widetilde{h}_{|\cdot|}(0)$ agree. Furthermore, these distributions are independent from $h_{|\cdot|}(0)$ and $\widetilde{h}_{|\cdot|}(0)$, respectively. The law of $\widetilde{h}_{e^{-s}}(0)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is that of a standard linear two-sided Brownian motion [DS11, Proposition 3.2]. The law of $h_{e^{-s}}(0) - \alpha s$ for $s \geq 0$ is that of a standard linear Brownian motion and its law for $s < 0$ is that of a standard linear Brownian motion conditioned on the event that $h_{e^{-s}}(0) - \alpha s \geq Qs$. It follows that we can couple h and $\widetilde{h}$ in such a way that $h - h_{|\cdot|}(0) = \widetilde{h} - \widetilde{h}_{|\cdot|}(0)$ and a.s. $h_r(0) - \widetilde{h}_r(0) = \alpha \log r^{-1}$ for $r < 1$. Henceforth assume h and $\widetilde{h}$ are coupled in this way. We need to bound $\sup_{r \in [1,R]} |h_r(0) - \widetilde{h}_r(0)|$.

By standard estimates for Brownian motion, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{r \in [1,R]} |\widetilde{h}_r(0)| > M \right) \preceq e^{-cM}$ for every choice of $c > 0$. By [DMS14, Remark 4.4], we can express the law of $h_{e^{-s}}(0) - \alpha s$ for $s \leq 0$ as follows. Let B be a standard linear Brownian motion and let τ be the largest $t > 0$ for which $B_t + (Q - \alpha)t = 0$ (which is a.s. finite). If we set $\widehat{B}_t := B_{t+\tau} + (Q - \alpha)(t + \tau)$, then $\{\widehat{B}_{-s}\}_{s \leq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{h_{e^{-s}}(0) - \alpha s\}_{s \leq 0}$. By the reflection principle, the Gaussian tail bound, and the union bound, for $T > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > T) \leq \mathbb{P}(\exists t > T \text{ such that } |B_t| > (Q - \alpha)t) \preceq e^{-(Q-\alpha)^2 T/2}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, \log R]} |B_{t+\tau} + (Q - \alpha)(t + \tau)| > M \right) \\ &\quad \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}(Q-\alpha)^{-1} M]} |B_t| > M/2 \right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\tau > \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha)^{-1} M - \log R \right) \preceq e^{-cM} \end{aligned}$$

for $c > 0$ as in the statement of the lemma. By combining this with our above description of the law of $\{h_{e^{-s}}(0) - \alpha s\}_{s \leq 0}$ and the triangle inequality, we obtain (3.6). □

In the remainder of this section we will prove several estimates for the quantum measure induced by a whole-plane GFF. The estimates of this section will be used in conjunction with Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.7 to estimate the size of the pre-image of the set X under η' in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.8. *Let h be a whole plane GFF. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the harmonic part of $h|_{\mathbb{D}}$. For each $r \in (0,1)$ and each $\rho \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{z \in B_\rho(0)} |\mathfrak{h}(z) - \mathfrak{h}(0)| \geq r \log \epsilon^{-1} \right) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon). \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Let $\rho' := \frac{1+\rho}{2}$. By the mean value property of harmonic functions,

$$\sup_{z \in B_\rho(0)} |\mathfrak{h}(z) - \mathfrak{h}(0)| \preceq \int_{B_{\rho'}(0)} |\mathfrak{h}(w) - \mathfrak{h}(0)| \, dw,$$

with the implicit constants depending only on ρ. By Jensen's inequality, for each $p > 0$ we have

$$\sup_{z \in B_\rho(0)} e^{p|\mathfrak{h}(z) - \mathfrak{h}(0)|} \leq c_0 \int_{B_{\rho'}(0)} e^{pc_1 |\mathfrak{h}(w) - \mathfrak{h}(0)|} \, dw$$

for constants c_0 and c_1 depending only on ρ. By [MS16a, Proposition 6.4], for each $w \in B_{\rho'}(0)$, $\mathfrak{h}(w) - \mathfrak{h}(0)$ is a centered Gaussian with variance bounded above by a constant depending only on ρ. Hence for each $p > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(c_0 \int_{B_{\rho'}(0)} e^{pc_1 |\mathfrak{h}(w) - \mathfrak{h}(0)|} \, dw \right) < \infty.$$

By applying the Chebyshev inequality and letting $p \to \infty$, we infer (3.8). □

Lemma 3.9. *Fix $r > 0$ and $R > 1$. Let h be a whole-plane GFF, let μ_h be its γ-quantum are measure, and let (h_ϵ) be its circle average process. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and each $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu_h(B_\epsilon(z)) \leq \epsilon^{2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} + r} e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)} \right) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon), \tag{3.9}$$

at a rate depending only on r and R.

Proof. The estimate (3.9) is independent of the choice of additive constant for h, can assume without loss of generality that h is normalized so that the circle average $h_1(0)$ is equal to 0. Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\phi_z^\epsilon(w) := \epsilon w + z$ be an affine map which takes $\mathbb{D}$ to $B_\epsilon(z)$ and let $\widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon := h \circ \phi_z^\epsilon$. By [DS11, Proposition 2.1], we have

$$\mu_h(B_\epsilon(z)) = \mu_{\widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon + Q \log \epsilon}(B_1(0)) = \epsilon^{2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \mu_{\widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon}(B_1(0)). \tag{3.10}$$

By translation and scale invariance $\widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon$ has the law of a whole plane GFF, modulo additive constant. The circle average of $\widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon$ at $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is equal to $h_\epsilon(z)$. To see this, we observe that the former circle average is equal to the conditional mean of $\widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon$ evaluated at 0, given its values outside of $\mathbb{D}$. By conformal invariance of harmonic functions and of the zero-boundary GFF, this equals the conditional mean of h at z given its values outside $B_\epsilon(z)$, which equals $h_\epsilon(z)$.

Hence the field $\widehat{h}_z^\epsilon := \widetilde{h}_z^\epsilon - h_\epsilon(z)$ agrees in law with h (not just modulo additive constant), and by (3.10), we have

$$\mu_h(B_\epsilon(z)) = e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)} \epsilon^{2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \mu_{\widehat{h}_z^\epsilon}(B_1(0)). \tag{3.11}$$

It remains to argue that $\mu_{\widehat{h}_z^\epsilon}(B_1(0))$ is unlikely to be small; equivalently, $\mu_h(B_1(0))$ is unlikely to be small. To see this, let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the conditional mean of h given its values outside $\mathbb{D}$ and let $\dot{h} := h|_{\mathbb{D}} - \mathfrak{h}$. Then $\dot{h}$ is a zero-boundary GFF on $\mathbb{D}$ which is conditionally independent from $\mathfrak{h}$ given $h|_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{D}}$, and we have

$$\mu_h(\mathbb{D}) \geq \mu_{\dot{h}}(B_{1/2}(0)) \exp\left(-\gamma \sup_{w \in B_{1/2}(0)} |\mathfrak{h}(w)| \right). \tag{3.12}$$

By [DS11, Lemma 4.5],

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\mu_{\hat{h}}(B_{1/2}(0)) \leq \epsilon^{r/2}\Big) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon). \tag{3.13}$$

Since $\mathfrak{h}(0) = h_1(0) = 0$, Lemma 3.8 implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\exp\left(-\gamma \sup_{w\in B_{1/2}(0)} |\mathfrak{h}(w)|\right) \leq \epsilon^r\right) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon). \tag{3.14}$$

By (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14) we obtain $\mathbb{P}(\mu_h(\mathbb{D}) \leq \epsilon^r) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon)$. By (3.11), it follows that (3.9) holds. □

3.3 Circle average continuity

In this subsection we will prove various results which says that the circle average of a whole-plane GFF around a small circle or the quantum mass of a small ball is unlikely to differ too much from the value we would expect given the circle average around a larger circle centered at a nearby point. We start with a basic continuity estimate for the circle average.

Lemma 3.10. *Fix $\rho > R > 0$. Suppose that either h is a zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$ or h is a whole-plane GFF. Let (h_ϵ) be the circle average process of h. There a.s. exists a modification of h_ϵ (still denoted by h_ϵ) such that the following is true. For $r > 0$ and $C > 1$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C, R, r)$ be the event that*

$$|h_\epsilon(z) - h_{\epsilon'}(z')| \leq C\frac{|(z,\epsilon) - (z',\epsilon')|^{(1-r)/2}}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \tag{3.15}$$

for each $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in (0,1]$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leq \epsilon/\epsilon' \leq 2$ and each $z, z' \in B_R(0)$. Then $\mathbb{P}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)\Big) \to 1$ as $C \to \infty$.

Proof. The statement for the case of a zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$ follows from [HMP10, Proposition 2.1] (c.f. the proof of [MS16f, Proposition 8.4]). If h is a whole-plane GFF, then the law of $h|_{B_R(0)}$ is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the law of a zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$ restricted to $B_R(0)$ (see, e.g. [MS16a, Proposition 3.2]). Hence for each r and R as in the statement of the lemma, there a.s. exists a random $C > 0$ for which $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)$ holds. This yields the statement of the lemma. □

We henceforth assume that we have replaced (h_ϵ) with a modification as in Lemma 3.10.

Lemma 3.11. *Fix $\rho > R > 1$ and $r \in (0,1)$. Let h be either a zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$ or a whole-plane GFF and let (h_ϵ) be the circle average process for h. Define the events $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C, R, r)$ as in Lemma 3.10. For each $a > 0$, each $c > 0$, and each $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z - w| \leq c\epsilon$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|h_\epsilon(w) - h_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)| \geq a\log\epsilon^{-1},\, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)\Big) \preceq \epsilon^{\frac{a^2}{2r}}$$

with the implicit constant depending only on c, C, R, and r.

Proof. If $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z - w| \leq c\epsilon$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)$ occurs, then

$$|h_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z) - h_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(w)| \preceq 1.$$

For $t > 0$, let $B_t := h_{e^{-t}\epsilon^{1-r}}(w) - h_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(w)$. By the calculations in [DS11, Section 3.1], B is a standard linear Brownian motion. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(|h_\epsilon(w) - h_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(w)| \geq a\log\epsilon^{-1} - C\big) = \mathbb{P}\big(|B_{r\log\epsilon^{-1}}| \geq a\log\epsilon^{-1} - C\big) \preceq \epsilon^{\frac{a^2}{2r}}.$$

We conclude by means of the triangle inequality. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\rho > R > 0$. Let h be either a zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$ or a whole-plane GFF and let (h_ϵ) be the circle average process for h. For $r \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(R, r)$ be the event that the following is true. For each $\delta \in (0, \epsilon]$ and each $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z - w| \le 2\delta$, we have*

$$|h_\delta(w) - h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z)| \le 3\sqrt{10r} \log \delta^{-1}.$$

For each $r \in (0, 1/2)$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_\epsilon) \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Proof. Fix $C > 1$ and define the event $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C, R, r)$ as in Lemma 3.10. By Lemma 3.11, for each $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z - w| \le 6\delta$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|h_\delta(z) - h_{\delta^{1-r}}(w)| \ge \sqrt{10r} \log \delta^{-1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)\Big) \preceq \delta^5 \tag{3.16}$$

with the implicit constant depending only on C, R, and r. Choose a finite collection $\mathcal{S}_\delta$ of at most $O_\delta(\delta^{-\frac{2}{1-r}})$ points in $B_R(0)$ such that for each $z \in B_R(0)$, there exists $z' \in \mathcal{S}_\delta$ with $|z - z'| \le \delta^{\frac{1}{1-r}}$. On $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)$, for such a z and z' we have

$$|h_\delta(z) - h_\delta(z')| \le C, \qquad |h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z) - h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z')| \le C.$$

By (3.16) and the union bound, on $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)$ it holds except on an event of probability $\preceq \delta$ (implicit constant depending only on C, R, and r) that

$$|h_\delta(z) - h_{\delta^{1-r}}(w)| \le \sqrt{10r} \log \delta^{-1}$$

whenever $z, w \in \mathcal{S}_\delta$ with $|z - w| \le 6\delta$. By the triangle inequality, whenever this is the case and δ is sufficiently small (depending on r and C), we have

$$|h_\delta(w) - h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z)| \le 2\sqrt{10r} \log \delta^{-1} \tag{3.17}$$

whenever $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z - w| \le 2\delta$. For $\delta > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}'_\delta$ be the event that this last statement holds, so that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big((\mathcal{C}'_\delta)^c, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)\Big) \preceq \delta. \tag{3.18}$$

Fix a sequence (ζ_n) decreasing to 0 such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(\zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1})^{(1-r)/2}}{\zeta_{n+1}^{1/2}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(\zeta_n^{1-r} - \zeta_{n+1}^{1-r})^{(1-r)/2}}{\zeta_{n+1}^{(1-r)/2}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_n < \infty, \tag{3.19}$$

e.g. $\zeta_n = n^{-q}$ for appropriate $q > 1$, depending on r. For $\epsilon > 0$, let n_ϵ be the greatest integer n such that $\zeta_n \ge \epsilon^{1-r}$. By (3.18) and the union bound,

$$\liminf_{\zeta\to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) \cap \bigcap_{n=n_\epsilon}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}'_{\zeta_n}\right) = \mathbb{P}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)\Big) - o_\epsilon(1).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)\Big) \to 1$ as $C \to \infty$ (by Lemma 3.10), it suffices to show that for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) \cap \bigcap_{n=n_\epsilon}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}'_{\zeta_n} \subset \mathcal{C}_\epsilon. \tag{3.20}$$

To see this, suppose given $\delta \in (0, \epsilon]$ and each $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z - w| \le 2\delta$. Let n_δ be chosen so that $\delta \in [\zeta_{n_\delta + 1}, \zeta_{n_\delta}]$. By our choice of (ζ_n), on $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)$ we have

$$|h_\delta(w) - h_{\zeta_{n_\delta}}(w)| \le C o_\epsilon(1), \qquad |h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z) - h_{\zeta_{n_\delta}^{1-r}}(z)| \le C o_\epsilon(1).$$

By (3.17) with ζ_{n_δ} in place of δ, along with the triangle inequality, we obtain (3.20). □

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\rho > R > 1$. Suppose that either h is zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$ or a whole-plane GFF. Let (h_ϵ) be the circle average process for h and let μ_h be its γ-quantum area measure. There is a function $\psi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{r\to 0} \psi(r) = 0$, depending only on γ, such that the following holds. For $r \in (0,1/2)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon = \mathcal{G}_\epsilon(R,r)$ be the event that the following is true. For each $\delta \in (0,\epsilon]$ and each $z, w \in B_R(0)$ with $|z-w| \leq \delta$, we have*

$$\mu_h(B_\delta(w)) \geq \delta^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}+\psi(r)} e^{\gamma h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z)}.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Proof. For $C > 1$, define the event $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C,R,r)$ as in Lemma 3.10. Define the event $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(R,r)$ as in Lemma 3.12. Also fix a sequence $\zeta_n \to 0$ satisfying (3.19).

Fix $p > 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a finite collection $\mathcal{S}_n$ of at most $O_n(\zeta_n^{-2p})$ points in $B_R(0)$ such that each point of $B_R(0)$ lies within distance ζ_n^p of some point in $\mathcal{S}_n$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let n_ϵ be the greatest integer n such that $\zeta_n \geq \epsilon^{1-r}$ and let

$$\mathcal{D}_\epsilon := \bigcap_{n=n_\epsilon}^{\infty} \bigcap_{z\in\mathcal{S}_n} \left\{ \mu_h(B_{\zeta_n}(z)) \geq \zeta_n^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}+r} e^{\gamma h_{\zeta_n}(z)} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 3.9 and the union bound, we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_\epsilon) \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Since

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) \cap \mathcal{C}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{D}_\epsilon \right) \to 1$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$ and then $C \to \infty$, it suffices to show that if p is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small (depending on p), then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) \cap \mathcal{C}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{D}_\epsilon \subset \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$$

for an appropriate choice of $\psi(r)$ depending only on r and γ. To this end, suppose that $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C) \cap \mathcal{C}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{D}_\epsilon$ occurs and we are given $\delta \in (0,\epsilon]$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{A}_R$ with $|z-w| \leq \delta$. By definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(C)$ and by (3.19), if p is chosen sufficiently large, depending only on r and the choice of sequence (ζ_n), we can find $n_\delta \in \mathbb{N} \cap [n_\epsilon, \infty)$ and $w' \in \mathcal{S}_{n_\delta}$ such that

$$\delta/2 < \zeta_{n_\delta} < \delta, \quad |w' - w| \leq \zeta_{n_\delta}^p < \delta - \zeta_{n_\delta}, \quad \text{and} \quad |h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z) - h_{\zeta_{n_\delta}^{1-r}}(z)| \leq C o_\epsilon(1).$$

By the definitions of $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon$, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_h(B_\delta(w)) &\geq \mu_h(B_{\zeta_{n_\delta}}(w')) \succeq \delta^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}+r} e^{\gamma h_{\zeta_{n_\delta}}(w')} \geq \delta^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}+r+5\gamma\sqrt{10r}} e^{\gamma h_{\zeta_{n_\delta}^{1-r}}(z)} \\ &\succeq \delta^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}+r+5\gamma\sqrt{10r}} e^{\gamma h_{\delta^{1-r}}(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

with the implicit constants depending on C but tending to C-independent constants as $\epsilon \to 0$. This proves the statement of the lemma in the case of a whole-plane GFF with $\psi(r)$ slightly larger than $r + 5\gamma\sqrt{10r}$. □

4 Intersection of the thick points of a GFF with a Borel set

Let h be a GFF on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let (h_ϵ) be its circle average process. Recall that for $\alpha \geq 0$, a point $z \in D$ is called an *α-thick points* of h provided

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{h_\epsilon(z)}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} = \alpha.$$

Let T_h^α be the set of α-thick points of h. Also let

$$\widehat{T}_h^\alpha := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \,:\, \liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{h_\epsilon(z)}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} \geq \alpha \right\}.$$

Thick points are introduced and studied in [HMP10] [4]. In particular, it is proven in [HMP10, Theorem 1.2] that a.s. $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha) = 2 - \alpha^2/2$. In this section we will adapt the proof of [HMP10, Theorem 1.2] to obtain a generalization of this fact which gives the a.s. dimension of the intersection of T_h^α with a general Borel set. The lower bound from this result will be needed in the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and let h be a zero-boundary GFF on D. Also let $A \subset D$ be a deterministic Borel set. If $0 \leq \alpha^2/2 \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A$, then almost surely*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha \cap A) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A\right) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}.$$

If $\alpha^2/2 > \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A$, then a.s. $T_h^\alpha \cap A = \widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A = \emptyset$.

By [BP15, Theorem B.2.5], for each $d < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A)$, there exists a closed set $A' \subset A$ with $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A') \geq d$. Hence we can assume without loss of generality that A is closed. We make this assumption throughout the remainder of this section.

Before we commence with the proof of Theorem 4.1, we observe that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha \cap A)$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha \cap A)$ are each a.s. equal to a constant.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose we are in the setting of Theorem 4.1. There are deterministic constants $a, \widehat{a} \geq 0$ such that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha \cap A) = a$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha \cap A) = \widehat{a}$ a.s.*

Proof. Let $\{f_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space closure for the Dirichlet inner product on the set of compactly supported smooth functions in D, with each f_j smooth and compactly supported. We can write $h = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j f_j$, where the a_j's are i.i.d. standard Gaussians. Permuting a finite number of coefficients in this series expansion does not affect whether a given point is an α-thick point for h, nor does it affect the dimension of $T_h^\alpha \cap A$ or $\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A$. By the Hewitt-Savage zero-one law, we obtain the statement of the lemma. □

4.1 Upper bound

In this subsection we will prove the upper bound in Theorem 4.1. It is clear that $T_h^\alpha \subset \widehat{T}_h^\alpha$, so we only need to prove an upper bound on the dimension of $\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A$. To do this we will need a couple of basic lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose we are in the setting of Theorem 4.1. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and $r > 0$. Almost surely, there exists a random $\overline{\epsilon} = \overline{\epsilon}(\alpha, r) > 0$ such that the following is true. If we set*

$$A^{\alpha,r} := \left\{ z \in A \,:\, \frac{h_\epsilon(z)}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} \geq \alpha - r \,\forall \epsilon \in (0, \overline{\epsilon}] \right\}$$

then $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} A^{\alpha,r} \geq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A) - r$.

Proof. We have

$$\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ z \in A \,:\, \frac{h_\epsilon(z)}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} \geq \alpha - r \,\forall \epsilon \in (0, 1/n] \right\}$$

so the statement of the lemma follows from countable stability of Hausdorff dimension. □

Lemma 4.4. *Fix $r \in (0, 1/2)$, $\rho > R > 0$, and $\alpha > 0$. Let h be a zero-boundary GFF on $B_\rho(0)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $z \in B_R(0)$, let $E_\epsilon^{\alpha,r}(z)$ be the event that there is a $w \in B_\epsilon(z) \cap B_{\rho-\epsilon}(0)$ such that $h_\epsilon(w) \geq (\alpha - r)\log \epsilon^{-1}$. Also let $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(R, r)$ be the event of Lemma 3.12. Then for each $\widetilde{\epsilon} \geq \epsilon$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(E_\epsilon^{\alpha,r}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{\epsilon}}) \preceq \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2} + o_r(1)},$$

with the implicit constant and the $o_r(1)$ independent of ϵ and uniform for $z \in B_R(0)$.

[4] The authors of [HMP10] use a different normalization of the GFF from the one used in this paper, so our α-thick points are the same as their $\alpha^2/2$-thick points

Proof. By definition of $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\epsilon}}$, if $E_\epsilon^{\alpha,r}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\overline{\epsilon}}$ occurs, then

$$h_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z) \geq \left(\alpha - r - 3\sqrt{10r}\right) \log \epsilon^{-1}.$$

The statement of the lemma follows from the Gaussian tail bound. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1, upper bound. By conformal invariance (see [HMP10, Section 4]) we can assume without loss of generality that $D = B_\rho(0)$ for some $\rho > 0$. By countable stability of Hausdorff dimension we can assume without loss of generality that $A \subset B_R(0)$ for some $R \in (0, \rho)$. Fix $\beta > \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A$, $r \in (0, \alpha \wedge 1/2)$, and $p \in (0,1)$. Let $\overline{\epsilon} = \overline{\epsilon}(\alpha, r) > 0$ and $A^{\alpha,r}$ be as in Lemma 4.3. By the defining property of $A^{\alpha,r}$, we can find a deterministic $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that with probability at least $1-p$, we have $\overline{\epsilon} \geq \epsilon_0$, in which case

$$h_\epsilon(z) \geq (\alpha - r) \log \epsilon^{-1}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0], \quad \forall z \in A^{\alpha,r}. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $F^{\alpha,r}$ be the event that (4.1) holds, so that $\mathbb{P}(F^{\alpha,r}) \geq 1-p$.
For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find a countable collection of balls $\{B_{\epsilon_k^j}(z_k^j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of radius $\epsilon_k^j \leq \epsilon_0 \wedge 2^{-k}$ such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty B_{\epsilon_k^j}(z_k^j) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\epsilon_k^j)^\beta \leq 2^{-k}.$$

Observe that if $B_{\epsilon_k^j}(z_k^j) \cap A^{\alpha,r} \neq \emptyset$ and $F^{\alpha,r}$ occurs, then the event $E_{\epsilon_k^j}^{\alpha,r}(z_k^j)$ of as in Lemma 4.4 occurs.
By Lemma 4.4, for each $\xi > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{2^{-k}} \cap F^{\alpha,r}} \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\epsilon_k^j)^\xi \mathbb{1}_{\left(B_{\epsilon_k^j}(z_k^j) \cap A^{\alpha,r} \neq \emptyset\right)} \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\epsilon_k^j)^{\xi + \alpha^2/2 + o_r(1)} \tag{4.2}$$

with $\mathcal{C}_{2^{-k}} = \mathcal{C}_{2^{-k}}(R, r)$ as in Lemma 3.12. If $\xi > \beta - \alpha^2/2$, then for sufficiently small r, this sum is $\leq 2^{-k}$. Since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{2^{-k}}) \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$, if $F^{\alpha,r}$ occurs then it is a.s. the case that for infinitely many k, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty (\epsilon_k^j)^\xi \mathbb{1}_{\left(B_{\epsilon_k^j}(z_k^j) \cap A^{\alpha,r} \neq \emptyset\right)} \leq 2^{-k/2}.$$

Therefore $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} A^{\alpha,r} \leq \beta - \alpha^2/2$ a.s. on $F^{\alpha,r}$. Since p can be made arbitrarily close to 0, a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A) \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A^{\alpha,r} + r \leq \beta - \alpha^2/2 + r.$$

Upon letting $\beta \to \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A$ and $r \to 0$ we get $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A) \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A) - \alpha^2/2$. If $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A) - \alpha^2/2 < 0$, then for β sufficiently close to $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A)$ and r sufficiently small, the sum (4.2) is $\leq 2^{-k/2}$ when $\xi = 0$. Hence it is a.s. the case that on $F^{\alpha,r}$, it holds for arbitrarily large k that none of the balls $B_{\epsilon_k^j}(z_k^j)$ intersect $A^{\alpha,r}$. Therefore $A^{\alpha,r} = \emptyset$ a.s., so by Lemma 4.3 we have $\widehat{T}_h^\alpha \cap A = \emptyset$ a.s. □

4.2 Lower bound

In this subsection we will prove the lower bound in Theorem 4.1. It suffices to prove the lower bound for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(T_h^\alpha \cap A)$. By considering the intersection of A with a dyadic square and re-scaling, we can assume without loss of generality that $A \subset [0,1]^2 \subset D$. We make this assumption in addition to the assumption that A is closed throughout the remainder of this section.
Fix $\alpha > 0$. We make the following definitions (as in [HMP10, Section 3.2]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\epsilon_n := 1/n!$ and $t_n := \log n!$. Define the following events for each $\epsilon > 0$, $z \in D$, and $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$E_{z,j} := \left\{ \sup_{\epsilon \in [\epsilon_{j+1}, \epsilon_j]} |h_\epsilon(z) - h_{\epsilon_j}(z) - \alpha(\log \epsilon^{-1} - \log \epsilon_j^{-1})| \leq \sqrt{\log \epsilon_{j+1}^{-1} - \log \epsilon_j^{-1}} \right\} \tag{4.3}$$

$$F_{z,j} := \left\{ |h_\epsilon(z) - h_{\epsilon_j}(z)| \leq \log \epsilon^{-1} - \log \epsilon_j^{-1} + 1 \quad \forall \epsilon \leq \epsilon_j \right\} \tag{4.4}$$

$$E^n(z) := F_{z,n+1} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n E_{z,j} \tag{4.5}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, divide $[0,1]^2$ into ϵ_n^{-2} squares of side length ϵ_n, which intersect only along their boundaries. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ be the set of points in $[0,1]^2$ which are centers of these squares and for $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$, let $S_n(z)$ be the square of side length ϵ_n centered at z. Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the set of $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ such that $S_n(z) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and let $\mathcal{D}_n^*$ be the set of those $z \in \mathcal{D}_n$ for which $E^n(z)$ occurs. We define the *α-perfect-points* by

$$\mathcal{P}^\alpha = \mathcal{P}^\alpha(h, A) := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq k} \bigcup_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n^*} S_n(z)}. \tag{4.6}$$

It is shown in [HMP10, Lemma 3.2] that $\mathcal{P}^\alpha \subset T_h^\alpha$ a.s. Since we have assumed that A is closed, we a.s. have

$$\mathcal{P}^\alpha \subset T_h^\alpha \cap A. \tag{4.7}$$

We next need estimates for the probabilities of the events $E^n(z)$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(E^n(z)) \geq \epsilon_n^{\alpha^2/2 + o_n(1)}$$

with the $o_n(1)$ uniform for $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$.

Proof. Since $t \mapsto h_{e^{-t}}(z)$ evolves as a standard linear Brownian motion, [HMP10, Lemma A.3] applied with $T = \log \epsilon_{j+1}^{-1} - \log \epsilon_j^{-1}$ implies that for each $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{z,j}) \geq (\epsilon_{j+1}/\epsilon_j)^{\alpha^2/2 + o_j(1)}.$$

Furthermore, we have $\mathbb{P}(F_j(z)) \succeq 1$. By the Markov property,

$$\mathbb{P}(E^n(z)) = \mathbb{P}(F_{n+1}(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(E_{z,j}) \geq \epsilon_{n+1}^{\alpha^2/2 + o_n(1)} = \epsilon_n^{\alpha^2/2 + o_n(1)}.$$

□

The following is a restatement of [HMP10, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 4.6. *There is a constant $C > 0$ depending only on D and α such that the following is true. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, each $z, w \in [0,1]^2$ with $w \in S_l(z) \setminus S_{l+1}(z)$, and each $n \geq l$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(E^n(z) \cap E^n(w)) \leq C^l \beta_l^{-\alpha^2/2} \epsilon_l^{-\alpha^2/2} \mathbb{P}(E^n(z)) \mathbb{P}(E^n(w))$$

where

$$\beta_l = \prod_{k=1}^{l} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log k}}.$$

Remark 4.7. In the setting of Lemma 4.6, we have $\epsilon_l = |z-w|^{1 + o_{|z-w|}(1)}$ and $\beta_l = |z-w|^{o_{|z-w|}(1)}$, so the estimate of Lemma 4.6 can be re-stated as

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z) \cap E^n(w))}{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z)) \mathbb{P}(E^n(w))} \leq |z-w|^{-\alpha^2/2 + o_{|z-w|}(1)}$$

with the $o_{|z-w|}(1)$ depending only on z and w.

Proof of Theorem 4.1, lower bound. Fix $d \in (0, \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A))$. By Frostman's lemma [MP10, Theorem 4.30] there exists a Borel probability measure μ on A such that

$$\int_A \int_A \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} \, d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y) < \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

We extend μ to $\mathbb{C}$ by setting $\mu(B) = \mu(B \cap A)$ for each Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$. From (4.8), we obtain that for any $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_A \int_A \frac{1}{|x-y|^d}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) \\
&\quad = \sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(w)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(z)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) \\
&\quad \succeq \sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} |z-w|^{-a} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(w)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \epsilon_n^{-a} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(z)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for any such a and b,

$$\sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} |z-w|^{-a} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(w)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \epsilon_n^{-a} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(z)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) \preceq 1. \quad (4.9)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define a measure ν_n on A by

$$d\nu_n(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{E^n(z)} \mathbb{1}_{S_n(z)}(x)}{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z))}\, d\mu(x).$$

Observe that

$$\mathbb{E}(\nu_n(A)) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \mu(S_n(z)) = \mu(A) = 1.$$

By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big(\nu_n(A)^2\big) &= \sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z) \cap E^n(w))}{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z))\, \mathbb{P}(E^n(w))} \mu(S_n(z))\, \mu(S_n(w)) + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\mu(S_n(z))^2}{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z))} \\
&\preceq \sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\mu(S_n(z))\, \mu(S_n(w))}{|z-w|^{\alpha^2/2 + o_{|z-w|}(1)}} + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\mu(S_n(z))^2}{\epsilon_n^{\alpha^2/2 + o_n(1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.9) applied with $b = 0$, this is bounded above by an n-independent constant provided $d - \alpha^2/2 > 0$. Similarly, for $b > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\bigg(\int_A \int_A \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\nu_n(x)\, d\nu_n(y) \bigg) \\
&\quad = \sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z) \cap E^n(w))}{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z))\, \mathbb{P}(E^n(w))} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(w)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(E^n(z))} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(z)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) \\
&\quad \preceq \sum_{z \neq w \in \mathcal{D}_n} |z-w|^{-\alpha^2/2 + o_{|z-w|}(1)} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(w)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}_n} \epsilon_n^{-\alpha^2/2 + o_n(1)} \int_{S_n(z)} \int_{S_n(z)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\nu_n(x)\, d\nu_n(y).
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.9), this is bounded above by an n-independent constant provided $b < d - \alpha^2/2$. It follows from the usual argument (see the proof of [HMP10, Lemma 3.4]) that for such a b, it holds with positive probability that we can find a weak subsequential limit ν of the measures ν_n such that ν is supported on $\mathcal{P}^\alpha$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}^\alpha) > 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}^\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{P}^\alpha} \frac{1}{|x-y|^b}\, d\nu(x)\, d\nu(y) < \infty.$$

By (4.7) and [MP10, Theorem 4.27], it holds with positive probability that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A \cap T_h^\alpha) \geq b$. By Lemma 4.2, this probability is in fact equal to 1 for each $b < d - \alpha^2/2$. Therefore $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A \cap T_h^\alpha) \geq d - \alpha^2/2$. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

5.1 Upper bound

In this subsection we will prove the upper bound in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1, upper bound. We start with some reductions. By the countably stability of Hausdorff dimension, we can assume without loss of generality that a.s. $X \subset B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)$ for some deterministic $R > 0$. By Lemma 3.7, we can couple h with a whole-plane GFF $\widetilde{h}$ normalized so that its circle average over $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is 0 in such a way that the γ-quantum area measures μ_h and $\mu_{\widetilde{h}}$ are a.s. mutually absolutely continuous on $B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative bounded above and below by (random) positive constants. In such a coupling, it holds that for each interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta'(I) \subset B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)$ that $|I| \asymp \mu_{\widetilde{h}}(\eta'(I))$ with random but I-independent implicit constants. In particular, $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta')^{-1}(X)$ is unchanged if we parameterize η' by $\mu_{\widetilde{h}}$ instead of μ_h. Hence we can assume that h is a whole-plane GFF normalized so that its circle average over $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is 0, instead of the circle average embedding of a γ-quantum cone. We make this assumption throughout the remainder of the proof.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and $r \in (0, 1/2)$. By [MS16a, Proposition 3.2] the law of the restriction of h to $B_R(0)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of the corresponding restriction of a zero-boundary GFF h^0 on $B_{2R}(0)$, minus a random constant C equal to the circle average of h^0 over $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Since the set X is independent from $h + C$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 that we can find a random set $X^{\alpha,r} \subset X$ and a random $\overline{\epsilon} > 0$ such that a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} X^{\alpha,r} \geq \dim_{\mathcal{H}} X - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - r \tag{5.1}$$

and a.s.

$$h_\epsilon(z) + C \geq (\alpha - r) \log \epsilon^{-1}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \overline{\epsilon}] \quad \forall z \in X^{\alpha,r}.$$

By decreasing $\overline{\epsilon}$ we can arrange that in fact

$$h_\epsilon(z) \geq (\alpha - r) \log \epsilon^{-1}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \overline{\epsilon}] \quad \forall z \in X^{\alpha,r}. \tag{5.2}$$

Now let $\widehat{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be as in the theorem statement for our given choice of X. We will prove an upper bound for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X^{\alpha,r})$ in terms of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X})$. Let

$$\widehat{X}^{\alpha,r} := (\eta')^{-1}(X^{\alpha,r}) \cap \widehat{X}.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon = \mathcal{E}_\epsilon(R, r)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon = \mathcal{G}_\epsilon(R, r)$ be defined as in Propositions 3.1 and 3.13, respectively. Also let

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon := \left\{ \sup_{z \in B_R(0)} h_1(z) \leq \sqrt{\log \epsilon^{-1}} \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

By the definition of Hausdorff dimension, for each $\zeta > 0$, we can find a countable collection $\mathcal{I}_\zeta$ of intervals of length at most ζ, each of which contains a point of $\widehat{X}^{\alpha,r}$, such that

$$\widehat{X}^{\alpha,r} \subset \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_\zeta} I \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_\zeta} \operatorname{diam}(I)^{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) + r} \leq \zeta. \tag{5.3}$$

We claim that on $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, there a.s. exists a random $\zeta_0 > 0$ such that for each $\zeta \in (0, \zeta_0]$ and each choice of $\widehat{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and cover $\mathcal{I}_\zeta$ as above that

$$\operatorname{diam} \eta'(I) \leq \operatorname{diam}(I)^{(2+\gamma^2/2-\gamma\alpha)^{-1}+o_r(1)} \qquad \forall I \in \mathcal{I}_\zeta, \tag{5.4}$$

with the $o_r(1)$ deterministic and independent of I. Indeed, let $\bar{\epsilon}$ be as in (5.2) and set $\zeta_0 = \bar{\epsilon} \wedge \epsilon^3$. Suppose $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ occurs, $\zeta \in (0, \zeta_0]$, $\widehat{X}$ and $\mathcal{I}_\zeta$ are as above, and $I \in \mathcal{I}_\zeta$. Let $\delta_I := \operatorname{diam} \eta'(I) \wedge \epsilon^2$. By definition of $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon$, we can find $z \in X^{\alpha,r}$ and $w \in \eta'(I) \cap B_{\delta_I}(z)$ such that $B_{\delta_I^{\frac{1}{1-r}}}(w) \subset \eta'(I)$. By definition of $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon$, we have

$$\mu_h(\eta'(I)) \geq \delta_I^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}+o_r(1)} e^{\gamma h_{\delta_I}(z)}.$$

Since $z \in X^{\alpha,r}$, (5.2) and (5.4) imply that if $\zeta \leq \zeta_0$, then $e^{\gamma h_{\delta_I}(z)} \geq \delta_I^{-\gamma\alpha+\gamma r}$. Hence for such a ζ, we have

$$\mu_h(\eta'(I)) \geq \delta_I^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}-\gamma\alpha+o_r(1)}.$$

Since η' is parameterized by quantum mass, we infer that

$$\operatorname{diam}(I) \geq \delta_I^{2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}-\gamma\alpha+o_r(1)}.$$

Since $\operatorname{diam}(I) \leq \zeta^{1/2}$, this implies (5.4).

By (5.3), $\{\eta'(I) : I \in \mathcal{I}_\zeta\}$ is a cover of $X^{\alpha,r}$. Moreover, if we are given $r' > 0$ and we choose $r > 0$ sufficiently small, depending only on r', then for $\zeta \in (0, \zeta_0]$ we have by (5.3) and (5.4) that

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_\zeta} (\operatorname{diam} \eta'(I))^{\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X})+r'\right)\left(2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}-\gamma\alpha\right)} \leq \zeta.$$

Hence for such a choice of r, whenever $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ occurs it holds for every choice of $\widehat{X}$ as in the theorem statement that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X^{\alpha,r}) \leq \left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) + r'\right)\left(2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \gamma\alpha\right).$$

By letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we infer that this relation holds a.s. for every choice of $\widehat{X}$ simultaneously. By (5.1), it is a.s. the case that for each choice of $\widehat{X}$ as in the theorem statement, we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \leq \left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X}) + r'\right)\left(2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \gamma\alpha\right) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + r.$$

The right side is minimized when $r = r' = 0$ by taking $\alpha = \gamma \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widehat{X})$. Since r is arbitrary and r' can be made as small as we like by shrinking r, this yields the upper bound in (1.4). □

5.2 Lower bound

We will prove the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 by covering X with balls B of radius ϵ_B, such that $\sum_B \epsilon_B^d$ is small for some $d > \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$. We obtain a cover of the time set $\widehat{X}$ by considering the pre-images of these balls under η'. The length of the intervals covering $\widehat{X}$ is estimated by considering the quantum mass of the balls in our cover via the circle average process, and by bounding the number of time intervals corresponding to each ball in the cover of X. The proof also relies on Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.8, and [RV14, Theorem 2.11].

Lemma 5.1. *For each $R > 1$, $r \in (0,1)$, $z \in B_R(0)$, $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$, $B_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)$ can be written as a disjoint union of sets $\eta'(I)$, where I is an interval that is not contained in any larger interval I' satisfying $\eta'(I') \subset B_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)$. On the event $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}} = \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}}(r, R)$ of Proposition 3.1, the number of such sets that intersect $B_\epsilon(z)$, is bounded above by ϵ^{-3r} for all sufficiently small ϵ, i.e., for $\epsilon < \epsilon(r, \tilde{\epsilon})$.*

Proof. On $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}}$, every time interval I of the form above with $\eta'(I) \cap B_\epsilon(z) \neq \emptyset$ and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small satisfies $\operatorname{Area}(\eta'(I)) \geq (\epsilon^{1-r} - \epsilon)^{\frac{2}{1-r}} = \epsilon^{2+o_r(1)}$. Since $\eta'(I) \subset B_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)$ and $B_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)$ has area $\epsilon^{2-2r} = \epsilon^{2+o_r(1)}$, the lemma follows. The exact exponent $-3r$ is obtained by dividing the area of $B_{\epsilon^{1-r}}(z)$, by the bound for the area of $\eta'(I)$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let h be a whole-plane GFF normalized such that $h_1(0) = 0$. For $0 \le \beta < \frac{4}{\gamma^2}$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu_h(B_\epsilon(z))^\beta] \le \epsilon^{f(\beta)+o_\epsilon(1)},$$

where $f(\beta) = (2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2})\beta - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\beta^2$ and the $o_\epsilon(1)$ depends on α and β but not on z.

Proof. Defining $\phi_z^\epsilon(w) = \epsilon w + z$ we have $h \circ \phi_z^\epsilon = \dot{h} + \mathfrak{h}$, for $\dot{h}$ a zero-boundary GFF in $B_2(0)$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ harmonic in $B_2(0)$ and independent of $\dot{h}$. As explained after (3.10) the average of $\mathfrak{h}$ around $\partial B_2(0)$ is equal to the circle average $h_{2\epsilon}(z)$. By the coordinate change formula for quantum surfaces we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big(\mu_h(B_\epsilon(z))^\beta\big) &= \epsilon^{(2+\gamma^2/2)\beta}\mathbb{E}\big(\mu_{\dot{h}+\mathfrak{h}}(B_1(0))^\beta\big)\\ &\le \epsilon^{(2+\gamma^2/2)\beta}\mathbb{E}\big(e^{\gamma\beta h_{2\epsilon}(z)} \times \mu_{\dot{h}}(B_1(0))^\beta \times \sup_{w\in B_1(0)} e^{\gamma\beta(\mathfrak{h}(w)-h_{2\epsilon}(z))}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Let $r > 0$, and define the event $A_{r,\epsilon}$ by

$$A_{r,\epsilon} = \{\sup_{w\in B_1(0)} e^{\gamma(\mathfrak{h}(w)-h_{2\epsilon}(z))} > \epsilon^{-r}\}$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have $\mathbb{P}(A_{r,\epsilon}) = o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon)$. Since $h_{2\epsilon}(z)$ is Gaussian with variance at most $(1 + o_\epsilon(1))\log\epsilon^{-1}$, for each $\widetilde{\beta} > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{\tilde{\beta}h_{2\epsilon}(z)}\big) = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\beta}^2+o_\epsilon(1)}. \tag{5.5}$$

By [RV14, Theorem 2.11], $\mu_{\dot{h}}(B_1(0))$ has finite moments of all orders $< 4/\gamma^2$. Choose $p_j > 1$, $j = 1,2,3,4$, such that $\beta p_2 < \frac{4}{\gamma^2}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^4 p_j^{-1} = 1$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\big(e^{\gamma\beta h_{2\epsilon(z)}} \times \mu_{\dot{h}}(B_1(0))^\beta \times \sup_{w\in B_1(0)} e^{\gamma\beta(\mathfrak{h}(w)-h_{2\epsilon}(z))}\mathbb{1}_{A_{r,\epsilon}}\big)\\ &\quad\le \mathbb{E}\big(e^{\gamma\beta p_1 h_{2\epsilon}(z)}\big)^{p_1^{-1}} \times \mathbb{E}\big(\mu_{\dot{h}}(B_1(0))^{\beta p_2}\big)^{p_2^{-1}} \times \mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{w\in B_1} e^{\gamma\beta p_3(\mathfrak{h}(w)-h_{2\epsilon}(z))}\big)^{p_3^{-1}} \times \mathbb{P}\big(A_{r,\epsilon}\big)^{p_4^{-1}}\\ &\quad\le \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\beta^2 p_1+o_\epsilon(1)}\mathbb{P}\big(A_{r,\epsilon}\big)^{p_4^{-1}}\\ &\quad= o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\mu_h(B_\epsilon(z))^\beta\big) \le \epsilon^{(2+\gamma^2/2-r)\beta}\mathbb{E}\big(\epsilon^{\gamma\beta h_{2\epsilon}(z)}\mu_{\dot{h}}(B_1(0))^\beta\big) + o_\epsilon^\infty(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{(2+\gamma^2/2-r)\beta-\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\beta^2+o_\epsilon(1)}$$

by independence of $\dot{h}$ and $h_{2\epsilon}$. □

We are now ready to prove the lower bound of our main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 1.1, lower bound. As in the proof of the upper bound in Section 5.1, we can assume without loss of generality that $X \subset B_R(0) \setminus B_{1/R}(0)$ for some fixed $R > 0$ and we can replace h with a whole-plane GFF normalized so that its circle average over $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is 0.

If $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = 2$ the lower bound clearly holds, so we assume $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) < 2$. Let $\beta_0 \in [0, \frac{4}{\gamma^2})$ be the (random) solution of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = f(\beta_0)$, and let $\beta \in (\beta_0, \frac{4}{\gamma^2})$. Then choose some $d \in (\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X), f(\beta))$, and some $r > 0$ satisfying

$$d < -3r + (1-r)f(\beta). \tag{5.6}$$

Then choose a sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $\delta_n \in (0,1)$ for each n and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \delta_n^r < \infty$. Since $d > \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$, we can find, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a random collection of balls $\mathcal{B}_n$, measurable with respect to $\sigma(X)$ and covering X, such that

$$\sum_{B\in\mathcal{B}_n} \epsilon_B^d < \delta_n,$$

where ϵ_B denotes the radius of B. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$, let $\mathcal{I}(B)$ denote the set of intervals I as defined in Lemma 5.1, such that $\eta'(I)$ intersects B, and let B' be the ball of radius ϵ_B^{1-r} centered at the same point as B. Let $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$, and assume without loss of generality that $\epsilon_B < \epsilon(r, \tilde{\epsilon})$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as defined in Lemma 5.1. Define the random variable Z_n by

$$Z_n = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(B)} |I|^\beta,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}} = \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}}(r, R)$ is the event of Proposition 3.1. By using $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(B)} |I| \le \mu(B')$ and $|\mathcal{I}(B)| < \epsilon_B^{-3r}$, we have

$$Z_n \le \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\epsilon}^{1-r}}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} \epsilon_B^{-3r} \mu(B')^\beta.$$

It follows from Lemma 5.2 and (5.6) that for all sufficiently large n,

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_n \,|\, X) \le \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} \epsilon_B^{-3r+(1-r)f(\beta)+o_n(1)} \le \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} \epsilon_B^d < \delta_n.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, $\mathbb{P}(Z_n > \delta_n^{1-r}) \le \delta_n^r$, so by the Borel-Cantelli lemma the event $\{Z_n > \delta_n^{1-r}\}$ happens at most finitely often almost surely. It follows that $Z_n \to 0$ almost surely, and by letting $\tilde{\epsilon} \to 0$ and using Proposition 3.1, a.s.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(B)} |I|^\beta = 0.$$

This completes the proof, since $\cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n, I \in \mathcal{I}(B)} I$ is a cover of any set $\widehat{X}$ as in the theorem statement. □

6 Multiple points of space-filling SLE

$\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ does not have triple points, and for $\kappa' \ge 8$ the set of triple points is countable, see [MW14, Remark 5.3]. Space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$, $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$, however, has uncountably many points with multiplicity at least 3. Moreover, as $\kappa' \downarrow 4$, the maximal multiplicity of the path a.s. tends to ∞.

As in the above sections, let η' be a whole–plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ parameterized by quantum area with respect to an independent γ-quantum cone $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$. Let $Z = (L, R)$ denote the associated quantum boundary length processes, and recall that Z is a two-dimensional Brownian motion with covariance given by (1.3).

Let $m \ge 3$. Except for the countable number of triple points corresponding to local minima of L or R, there is a bijection between m-tuple points of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ and the $(m-2)$-tuple cone times of Z, which will be defined just below. Recall the definition of an ordinary ($\pi/2$-)cone time in Definition 2.1, in addition to the associated time $v(t)$ defined in (2.1). Let t be a cone time of Z (resp. cone time of the time-reversal of Z), and define the function u (resp. v^R and u^R) by

$$\begin{aligned}
v^R(t) &= \sup\{s < t \,:\, R_s < R_t \text{ or } L_s < L_t\}, \\
u(t) &= \inf\left\{s > t \,:\, \inf_{s' \in [t,s]} R_{s'} < R_t \text{ and } \inf_{s' \in [s,t]} L_{s'} < L_t\right\}, \\
u^R(t) &= \sup\left\{s < t \,:\, \inf_{s' \in [s,t]} R_{s'} < R_t \text{ and } \inf_{s' \in [s,t]} L_{s'} < L_t\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

An m-tuple cone time is a generalization of a cone time (see Figure 5).

Definition 6.1. Let $m \ge 3$ and $\mathbb{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, $\mathbb{t} = (t_0, \ldots, t_{m-2})$. Then $\mathbb{t}$ is an $(m-2)$-tuple cone vector of type (I) (resp. (II)) if there exists a $t_{m-1} > t_0$ such that the following properties are satisfied for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, m-2\}$. For j even (resp. odd) t_j is a cone time of Z, and we have either $t_{j+1} = v(t_j)$ and $t_{j-1} = u(t_j)$, or $t_{j+1} = u(t_j)$ and $t_{j-1} = v(t_j)$. For j odd (resp. even) t_j is a cone time of the time-reversal of Z, and we have either $t_{j+1} = v^R(t_j)$ and $t_{j-1} = u^R(t_j)$, or $t_{j+1} = u^R(t_j)$ and $t_{j-1} = v^R(t_j)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ denote the set of $(m-2)$-tuple cone vectors, and let $\mathcal{T}(m) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)$ denote the set of cone vectors satisfying (I), and for which t_0 is running infimum of L.

We say that $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an $(m-2)$-tuple cone time if $t = t_1$ for some $(m-2)$-tuple cone vector $\mathbb{t}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m) \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote the set of $(m-2)$-tuple cone times, and let $\mathfrak{T}(m) \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m)$ denote the set of cone times corresponding to elements of $\mathcal{T}(m)$.

Remark 6.2. Note that $\eta'(t_j) = \eta'(t_0)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Also note that, for $\mathbb{t} \in \mathcal{T}(m)$, we have $t_{2j-2}, t_{2j} < t_{2j-1}$, $L_{2j-1} = L_{2j-2}$ and $R_{2j-1} = R_{2j}$ for all relevant j, in particular t_{m-1} is a running infimum of R (resp. L) for odd (resp. even) m. Furthermore, note that we have chosen to let an $(m-2)$-tuple cone vector be represented by an $(m-1)$-dimensional vector, i.e., in the definition of cone vectors above we have chosen to include t_0 in the vector in addition to the $(m-2)$ cone times, while we have not included t_{m-1}. We chose not to include t_{m-1} in order to simplify the calculation of some probabilities in Sections 6.1 and 6.2, while we did include t_0 since it will be needed for one of our regularity conditions in Section 6.2. By symmetry $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m)$) and $\mathcal{T}(m)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}(m)$) have the same Hausdorff dimension almost surely.



Figure 5: Points of multiplicity m can be illustrated as a rectangular path of m vertical line segments and $m-1$ horizontal line segments in the peanosphere construction of [DMS14], see the three top figures for some examples with $m = 4$ and $m = 7$. Each horizontal line segment intersects L (or $C - R$) at its end points, and L (or $C - R$) is above (or below) the line segment between the intersection times, implying that L (or R) has a local running infimum where the line segment ends, and that its time-reversal has a local running infimum where the line segment starts. The local running infima correspond to a sequence of $\frac{\pi}{2}$-cone times of the planar Brownian motion (L, R), see the bottom figures. The $(m-2)$ times corresponding to $\frac{\pi}{2}$-cone times are marked with red dots, while the times t_0 and t_{m-1}, which are running infima of L or R, and correspond to the same spatial point of η', are marked with blue dots.

We now state the main results of this section.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\kappa' \in (4,8)$. Let $m \in [3, (2\kappa'-4)/(\kappa'-4)] \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then a.s.*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m) = \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)\left(\frac{\kappa'}{8} - \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{6.1}$$

If $m > (2\kappa'-4)/(\kappa'-4)$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)$ are empty a.s.

Throughout this section, we let

$$\theta = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa'}$$

be as in (1.3).

Remark 6.4. By a linear transformation it follows that (6.1) also gives the dimension of the set of $(m-2)$-tuple θ-cone times of standard planar Brownian motion.

Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 1.1 imply:

Corollary 6.5. *Let $\kappa' \in (4,8)$ and $m \in [3, (2\kappa'-4)/(\kappa'-4)] \cap \mathbb{N}$. The Hausdorff dimension of m-tuple points of space-filling $SLE_{\kappa'}$ is a.s. equal to*

$$\frac{(4m-4-\kappa'(m-2))(12+(\kappa'-4)m)}{8\kappa'}.$$

If $m > (2\kappa'-4)/(\kappa'-4)$, the set of m-tuple points is a.s. empty.

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 1.1 if we can show that, excluding the countable number of triple points corresponding to local minima of L or R, there is a bijection between m-tuple points and $(m-2)$-tuple cone vectors. It follows directly from the peanosphere construction of [DMS14] that any $(m-2)$-tuple cone time gives us an m-tuple point.

Conversely, note that an m-tuple point of η' not corresponding to an element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)$, would correspond to either (a) a vector $\mathbb{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ for which at least one of the elements $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a local minimum of L or R, or (b) a vector $\mathbb{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ for which at least one of the elements $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a running infimum of L and a running infimum of the time-reversal of R, or vice-versa. The set of vectors satisfying (a) is empty (except in the case $m = 3$, when it is countable), since the set of local minima is countable, and the set of vectors satisfying (b) is empty by [Shi88, Theorem 1]. □

For $\delta \in (0, m^{-1})$, let

$$\mathcal{T}(\delta, m) = \{\mathbb{t} \in \mathcal{T}(m) \,:\, |t_i - t_j| > \delta,\, t_j \in (0,1),\, \forall i,j \in \{0,\dots,m-2\}\}.$$

By the self-similarity of Brownian motion and the stability of Hausdorff dimension under countable unions, it is sufficient to calculate the dimension of $\mathcal{T}(\delta, m)$ for fixed δ. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\epsilon_n = (n!)^{-6}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $\epsilon_k < \delta$. For $m \geq 3$, $\delta \in (0, m^{-1})$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $D = D_{\delta,m}$ and $D_n = D_{\delta,m,n}$ by

$$D = \left\{\mathbb{t} = (t_0,\dots,t_{m-2}) \in (0,1)^{m-1} \,:\, t_{2j-2}, t_{2j} < t_{2j-1},\, |t_i - t_j| > \delta,\, \forall i,j \in \{0,\dots,m-2\}\right\},$$
$$D_n = D \cap (\epsilon_n \mathbb{Z}).$$

We will consider δ, k, m, κ' as fixed throughout the rest of the section, and all implicit constants and decay rates might depend on these constants.

6.1 Upper bound

The upper bound is based on an estimate for the probability that an $(m-1)$-dimensional vector is a so-called approximate cone vector, where approximate cone vectors are defined such that appropriately defined neighborhoods around each vector cover all actual cone vectors. The probability for a vector to be an approximate cone vector is calculated by considering two (approximately independent) types of events: one type of event concerning the local behavior of (L,R) near the approximate cone times, and one type of event concerning the behavior of (L,R) in the time interval between the cone times.

Let $C > 1$ and $0 < r \ll 1$. For $j \in \{0,\dots,m-2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$, let

$$A_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) = \begin{cases} \left\{ L_{t_j+s} \geq L_{t_j} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r},\ R_{t_j+s} \geq R_{t_j} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r},\ \forall s \in (0,\epsilon_{k+m+1}) \right\} & \text{if } j>0 \text{ even}, \\ \left\{ L_{t_j-s} \geq L_{t_j} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r},\ R_{t_j-s} \geq R_{t_j} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r},\ \forall s \in (0,\epsilon_{k+m+1}) \right\} & \text{if } j \text{ odd}, \\ \left\{ L_{t_j+s} \geq L_{t_j} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r},\ \forall s \in (0,\epsilon_{k+m+1}) \right\} & \text{if } j=0. \end{cases}$$

For $j \in \{1,2,\dots,\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$, let $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ be the event that

- $|X_{t_{2j-1}} - X_{t^*}| \leq C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$ and $\inf_{s\in[t^*,t_{2j-1}]} X_s \geq X_{t_{2j-1}} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$ for each $(X,t^*) \in \{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\}$ if $2j+1<m$; or
- $|L_{t_{2j-1}} - L_{t_{2j-2}}| \leq C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$ and $\inf_{s\in[t_{2j-2},t_{2j-1}]} L_s \geq L_{t_{2j-1}} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$ if $2j+1=m$.

Recall that $t_{2j-2}, t_{2j} < t_{2j-1}$ for all $j \in \{1,2,\dots,\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$, hence the intervals considered above are well-defined.

Define

$$E_n(\mathbb{t}) = \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-2} A_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right).$$

For $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$ we say that $\mathbb{t}$ is an *n-approximate $(m-2)$-tuple cone vector* if the event $E_n(\mathbb{t})$ occurs.

The event $A_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ occurs when there is an approximate cone time for Z or the time-reversal of Z at time t_j. The events $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ ensure that the L or R coordinate of two pairs of approximate cone times t_{2j-1}, t_{2j-2} and t_{2j-1}, t_{2j} are approximately identical.

We will need the following two lemmas both for the proof of the upper bound and for the proof of the lower bound of Theorem 6.3. The first lemma is [Shi85, equation (4.3)].

Lemma 6.6. *Let L, R be correlated Brownian motions satisfying (1.3). For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(X_s \geq X_t - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \forall s \in [t,t+1], X = L,R\big) \asymp \epsilon^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}},$$

with the implicit constant depending only on θ.

Our second lemma will be used to estimate the conditional probabilities of the events $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ given the other events we are interested in. We condition on (L,R) restricted to intervals near each time t_i, and the event $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ is introduced to ensure that the path of (L,R) restricted to these intervals is not too irregular, and that (L,R) does not violate the conditions of the event $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ in these intervals.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $s \leq \epsilon_{k+1}$. For $j \in \{1,2,\dots,\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$ let $\mathcal{F}_j$ be the σ-algebra generated by*

1. *(L_t,R_t) for $t \in (0, t_{2j-1}-2s)$,*
2. *$(L_t,R_t) - (L_{t_i},R_{t_i})$ for $i \neq 2j-1$ and $t \in (t_i - \epsilon_{k+1}, t_i + \epsilon_{k+1})$, and*
3. *$(L_t,R_t) - (L_{t_{2j-1}},R_{t_{2j-1}})$ for $t \in (t_{2j-1}-s, t_{2j-1}+\epsilon_{k+1})$.*

Let $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of events measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_j$. For $M>0$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ let $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ be the event that the following is true.

- *$Ms^{\frac{1}{2}} > X_{t_{2j-1}-s} - X_{t_{2j-1}} > M^{-1}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $Ms^{\frac{1}{2}} > X_{t_{2j-1}-2s} - X_{t^*} > M^{-1}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for each $(X,t^*)\in\{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\}$; and*
- *$\inf_{t'\in[t_{2j-1}-s,t_{2j-1}]} X_{t'} \geq X_{t_{2j-1}} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$ and $\inf_{t'\in[t^*,t_{2j-1}-2s]} L_{t'} \geq L_{t^*} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$ for each $(X,t^*)\in\{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\}$.*

Assume there is a constant $M>0$ independent of n and $\mathbb{t}$ such that the conditional probability of $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ given H_n is at least M^{-1} for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{t}\in D_n$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big(B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})\,\big|\,H_n\big) \asymp \begin{cases} \epsilon_n^{1-2r} & \text{for } 2j+1<m,\\ \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r} & \text{for } 2j+1=m,\end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

with the implicit constants depending only on δ, C, m, θ, s and M.

If $s=\epsilon_l$ for $l\in\{k+1,\dots,n-1\}$, and M can be chosen independently of l,n and $\mathbb{t}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\big(B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})\,\big|\,H_n\big) \asymp \begin{cases} \epsilon_n^{1-2r}\epsilon_l^{-1+o_n(1)} & \text{for } 2j+1<m,\\ \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}\epsilon_l^{-\frac{1}{2}+o_n(1)} & \text{for } 2j+1=m,\end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

with the implicit constant depending only on δ, C, m, θ and M.

Proof. First we will prove (6.2). Let $2j+1<m$. In order for $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ to occur, we must have

$$\begin{aligned}|(X_{t_{2j-1}} - X_{t_{2j-1}-s}) + (X_{t_{2j-1}-s} - X_{t_{2j-1}-2s}) + (X_{t_{2j-1}-2s} - X_{t^*})| \leq C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}\\ \forall (X,t^*)\in\{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\}\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\inf_{s\in[t^*,t_{2j-1}]} X_s \geq X_{t_{2j-1}} - C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}, \qquad \forall (X,t^*)\in\{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\}. \tag{6.5}$$

The first and third terms in the left-hand side of (6.4) is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_j$, while the second term is independent of $\mathcal{F}_j$. The second term is a normally distributed random variable with variance of order s. The probability of (6.4) conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_j$ is therefore equal to the probability that two jointly Gaussian random variables with variance of order s take values in two given intervals of length $2C\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$. If $2j+1=m$, the same result holds, only with one Gaussian random variable, instead of two Gaussian random variables. By the upper bounds in the first event defining $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ the estimate (6.2) follows with (6.4) instead of $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ on the left-hand side.

To complete the proof of (6.2) we need to show that (6.5) happens with uniformly positive probability conditioned on H_n and (6.4). This follows by using that $L_{t_{2j-1}-s} - L_{t_{2j-1}}$, $L_{t_{2j-1}-2s} - L_{t_{2j-2}}$, and the corresponding quantities for R, have a macroscopic magnitude on the event $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$.

The estimate (6.3) follows by small modifications of the argument above using Brownian scaling. We only consider the case $2j+1<m$, since the case $2j+1=m$ is similar. Again we need two jointly Gaussian random variables of variance s to take values in bounded intervals of length $2C\epsilon_n^{1/2}$, and the upper bounds in the first event defining $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ imply that this probability is of order $\epsilon_n^{1-2r}\epsilon_l^{-1}$. The event $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ also ensures that (6.5) occurs with uniformly positive probability conditioned on H_n and (6.4). □

The following lemma implies the upper bound of Theorem 6.3:

Lemma 6.8. *For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{t}\in D_n$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(E_n(\mathbb{t})\big) \asymp \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac{1}{2})-cr}$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending only on δ, C, m and θ.

Proof. Since $|t_i - t_j| > \delta$ for any two $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-2\}$, the Markov property of Brownian motion implies that the events $A_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, m-2\}$ are independent. By Lemma 6.6 we have $\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})) \asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}(1-2r)}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m-2$, and $\mathbb{P}(A_{n,0,C,r}(\mathbb{t})) \asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r}$. The events $B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})$ are not independent of each other and of the events $(A_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}))_{i=0,\dots,m-2}$, but as we will see in the remainder of the proof we have sufficient independence to obtain a good estimate for conditional probabilities.
Let $j \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$. Since $t_{2j-2}, t_{2j} < t_{2j-1}$ by the definition of D, the event

$$\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2} A_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i : t_{2i-1} < t_{2j-1}} B_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \tag{6.6}$$

is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_j$ of Lemma 6.7 with $s = \epsilon_{k+m+1}$. There is a constant $M > 0$ independent of $\mathbb{t}$ and n such that the event $\widetilde{H}_n(M)$ of Lemma 6.7 is satisfied with probability at least M^{-1} conditioned on the event (6.6). Therefore (6.2) of Lemma 6.7 implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left(B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \,\middle|\, \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2} A_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i : t_{2i-1} < t_{2j-1}} B_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \right) \asymp \begin{cases} \epsilon_n^{1-2r} & \text{for } 2j+1 < m, \\ \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}-r} & \text{for } 2j+1 = m. \end{cases}$$

The estimate of the lemma follows by the above estimates and the observation that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\big(E_n(\mathbb{t})\big) &= \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \mathbb{P}(A_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t})) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{n,j,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \,\middle|\, \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2} A_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i : t_{2i-1} < t_{2j-1}} B_{n,i,C,r}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \right) \\ &\asymp \epsilon_n^{(m-2)\frac{\pi}{2\theta}} \times \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \epsilon_n^{(m-2)\frac{1}{2}} \times \epsilon_n^{-cr}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of upper bound in Theorem 6.3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$, let $S_n(\mathbb{t})$ denote the $(m-1)$-dimensional cube of side length $2\epsilon_n$ centered at $\mathbb{t}$, and note that $D \subset \cup_{\mathbb{t} \in D_n} S_n(\mathbb{t})$. The set of all $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$ such that $E_n(\mathbb{t})$ occurs is denoted by D_n^*. Let $\mathcal{A}_{C,r}$ be the event that (L, R) is $(\frac{1}{2} - r)$-Hölder continuous with Hölder norm at most $C/2$. Assume $\mathbb{s} \in \mathcal{T}(\delta, m)$, and let $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$ be the element of D_n that minimizes $\|\mathbb{s} - \mathbb{t}\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes e.g. the L^∞ norm. If $\mathcal{A}_{C,r}$ occurs, then $\mathbb{t}$ is an n-approximate $(m-2)$-tuple cone vector. It follows that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{C,r}} \mathcal{T}(\delta, m) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbb{t} \in D_n^*} S_n(\mathbb{t}). \tag{6.7}$$

First assume $m \le \frac{2\kappa'-4}{\kappa'-4}$, and let $d > \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2})$. By Lemma 6.8, for any $C > 0$ and sufficiently small r,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{C,r}} \sum_{\mathbb{t} \in D_n^*} \operatorname{diam}(S_n(\mathbb{t}))^d \right) \preceq \sum_{t \in D_n} \epsilon_n^d \mathbb{P}(E_n(\mathbb{t})) = \epsilon_n^{-(m-1)} \times \epsilon_n^d \times \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2} + (m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2}) + cr} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Chebyshev's inequality and the Borel-Cantelli lemma together imply that a.s.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{C,r}} \sum_{\mathbb{t} \in D_n^*} \operatorname{diam}(S_n(\mathbb{t}))^d = 0. \tag{6.8}$$

By (6.7) the set $\{S_n(\mathbb{t})\}_{\mathbb{t} \in D_{n,}^*}$ gives a cover for $\mathcal{T}(\delta, m)$ on the event $\mathcal{A}_{C,r}$, hence $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}(\delta, m)) \le d$ a.s. if $\mathcal{A}_{C,r}$ occurs. Since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_{C,r}) \to 1$ as $C \to \infty$, we a.s. have $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}(\delta, m)) \le d$.
By definition $\mathfrak{T}(m) = \operatorname{Proj}_1(\mathcal{T}(m))$, where $\operatorname{Proj}_1 : \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection $\mathbb{t} \mapsto t_1$. Since coordinate projection is Lipschitz continuous, $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{T}(m)) \le \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}(m))$. Since $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}(m)) = \sup_{\delta \in \mathbb{Q}, \delta \in (0, m^{-1})} \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}(m, \delta))$, we obtain the desired upper bound by letting $d \to \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2})$, and using that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}(m))$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m)) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{T}(m))$.
Now we will consider the case $m > \frac{2\kappa'-4}{\kappa'-4}$. Note that (6.8) still holds in this case, and that we can choose $d = 0$. When $d = 0$, the left hand side of (6.8) counts the number of elements in D_n^*, and it follows that D_n^* is empty for all sufficiently large n. By (6.7) we can conclude that $\mathcal{T}(\delta, m)$, hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m)$, are empty. □

6.2 Lower bound

We will now prove the lower bound of Theorem 6.3. The proof will be by standard methods, and relies on an estimate for the correlation of the two events that $\mathbb{t}$ and $\mathbb{s}$ are approximate cone vectors, see Proposition 6.12. In order to obtain sufficient independence of these two events, we will work with a slightly modified definition of approximate cone vectors. Let $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$. For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-2\}$ define the events

$$A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) = A_{n,j,1,0}(\mathbb{t}), \qquad B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) = B_{n,j,1,0}(\mathbb{t}),$$

$$F_j(\mathbb{t}) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \inf_{s\in[0,\epsilon_l]} (X_{t_j} - X_{t_j - s}) \in \epsilon_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(-3\log l, -l^{-3/2}),\ \forall l \geq k+1,\ \forall (X,t^*) \in \{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\} \right\},\ j>0 \text{ even}, \\ \left\{ \inf_{s\in[0,\epsilon_l]} (X_{t_j + s} - X_{t_j}) \in \epsilon_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(-3\log l, -l^{-3/2}),\ \forall l \geq k+1,\ \forall (X,t^*) \in \{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\} \right\},\ j \text{ odd}, \\ \left\{ \inf_{s\in[0,\epsilon_l]} (L_{t_j} - L_{t_j - s}) \in \epsilon_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(-3\log l, -l^{-3/2}),\ \forall l \geq k+1 \right\},\ j=0, \end{cases}$$

and for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$, define the event

$$B^*_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) = \left\{ \inf_{s\in[t^*+\epsilon_n, t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_n]} X_s > X_{t_{2j-1}} + 3\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \forall (X,t^*) \in \{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\} \right\}.$$

Also define the event $G(\mathbb{t})$ by

$$G(\mathbb{t}) = \left\{ R_{t_0+2\epsilon_{k+2}} < \inf_{t\in[t_0-\epsilon_{k+2}, t_0+\epsilon_{k+2}]} R_t - \epsilon_{k+2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\},$$

and finally define the event $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ by

$$\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t}) = \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-2} A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} B^*_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-2} F_j(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap G(\mathbb{t}).$$

We say that $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$ is a *perfect n-approximate $(m-2)$-tuple cone vector* if the event $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ occurs. Let $D^*_{n,\mathcal{P}}$ denote the set of all $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$ such that $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ occurs.

The set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)$ of perfect $(m-2)$-tuple cone vectors, is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m) := \bigcap_{k\geq 1} \overline{\left(\bigcup_{n\geq k} \bigcup_{\mathbb{t}\in D^*_{n,\mathcal{P}}} S_n(\mathbb{t}) \right)}.$$

As we will see below, the events $B^*_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$ imply that if $\mathbb{s} \neq \mathbb{t}$, both events $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ and $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ can only happen if we have $t_j < s_j$ for all even j and $t_j > s_j$ for all odd j, or vice-versa. The events $F_j(\mathbb{t})$ will imply that both events $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ and $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ can only happen if all the elements of the vector $\mathbb{t} - \mathbb{s}$ are of approximately the same magnitude, and the regularity condition $G(\mathbb{t})$ will imply that both events $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ and $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ cannot happen if $|t_i - s_j|$ is very small for some $i \neq j$.

Lemma 6.9. *The set of perfect $(m-2)$-tuple cone vectors is contained in the set of $(m-2)$-tuple cone vectors, i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m) \subset \mathcal{T}(\delta, m).$$

Proof. Assume $\mathbb{t} \notin \mathcal{T}(\delta, m)$. Then at least one of the following conditions are satisfied: (i) there is a $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ such that t_j is not a cone time for Z or for the time-reversal of Z, (ii) there is an even (resp. odd) $j \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$ such that $v(t_j) \neq t_{j\pm1}$ or $u(t_j) \neq t_{j\pm1}$ (resp. $v^R(t_j) \neq t_{j\pm1}$ or $u^R(t_j) \neq t_{j\pm1}$), or (iii) $t_0 \notin \{u(t_1), v(t_1)\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathbb{s}_n \in D_n$ be a vector such that $\|t - \mathbb{s}_n\|$ is minimized. In either case (i)-(iii) continuity of L and R imply that $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ cannot occur for sufficiently large n. Hence $\mathbb{t} \notin \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)$. $\square$



Figure 6: The left figure illustrates regularity condition $B^*_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$. The three green dots correspond to times $t_{2j-2}+\epsilon_n$, $t_{2j}+\epsilon_n$ and $t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_n$, respectively. We want the curve to be bounded away from the boundary of the cone for most of the cone excursion, hence preventing approximate cone vectors $\mathbb{s}$ with cone excursions that are partially inside and partially outside the corresponding excursion of $\mathbb{t}$. For example, if $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t}) \cap \widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ occurs, $\mathbb{t} \neq \mathbb{s}$, and $t_j < s_j < s_{j+1}$, we want $s_{j+1} < t_{j+1}$. The right figure illustrates regularity condition $F_j(\mathbb{t})$. The red curve is $Z|_{[t_j-\epsilon_l, t_j]}$, and the blue curve is $Z|_{[t_j-\epsilon_{l-1}, t_j]}$. The vertical red arrow shows the absolute value of $\inf_{s\in[t_j-\epsilon_l,t_j]}(R_s - R_{t_j})$, and the vertical blue arrow shows the absolute value of $\inf_{s\in[t_j-\epsilon_{l-1},t_j]}(R_s - R_{t_j})$; the horizontal arrows show the same values for L. Regularity condition $F_j(\mathbb{t})$ implies that the modulus of these infima decrease in a certain way as we increase l. Hence, if both events $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ and $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ occur we know the approximate value of $R_{t_j} - R_{s_j}$ and $L_{t_j} - L_{s_j}$ in terms of $t_j - s_j$. This will help us establish that all elements of the vector $\mathbb{t} - \mathbb{s}$ are of approximately the same order whenever both $\mathbb{t}$ and $\mathbb{s}$ are approximate perfect cone vectors.

The following lemma combined with Proposition 6.12 will imply the lower bound of Theorem 6.3.

Lemma 6.10. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})) \asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}+(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac{1}{2})}$$

with the implicit constants depending only on δ, m and θ.

Proof. Let N_1 and N_2 be normal random variables with correlation $\cos(\theta)$ and variance a, with a as in (1.3). For any $l \geq k+1$ and $j \in \{2, ..., 2\lfloor (m-2)/2 \rfloor\}$ even,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s\in[0,\epsilon_l]} (R_{t_j} - R_{t_j - s}) \notin \epsilon_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(-3\log l, -l^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \text{ or } \inf_{s\in[0,\epsilon_l]} (L_{t_j} - L_{t_j-s}) \notin \epsilon_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(-3\log l, -l^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \Big) \\
&\quad = \mathbb{P}\Big(|N_1| \notin (l^{-\frac{3}{2}}, 3\log l) \text{ or } |N_2| \notin (l^{-\frac{3}{2}}, 3\log l) \Big) \\
&\quad \asymp l^{-\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using this estimate, a similar estimate for odd j, and independence of the events $F_j(\mathbb{t})$, the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that $\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-2} F_j(\mathbb{t})$ happens with positive probability.

The events $A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$ are independent of each other and of the events $F_j(\mathbb{t})$, and $\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})) \asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}}$ by Lemma 6.6. The event $G(\mathbb{t})$ is independent of $F_j(\mathbb{t})$ and $A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$ for $j > 0$. Conditioned on $F_0(\mathbb{t}) \cap A_{n,0}(\mathbb{t})$ the event $G(\mathbb{t})$ has uniformly positive probability, since the value of $R_{t_0+2\epsilon_{k+2}} - R_{t_0+\epsilon_{k+2}}$ is independent of $F_0(\mathbb{t}) \cap A_{n,0}(\mathbb{t})$.

For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor$, let $B^{*,\mathrm{loc}}_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$ be the event that the following is true.

- $\inf_{s\in[t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_{k+m+1}, t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_n]} X_s > X_{t_{2j-1}} + 3\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $X_{t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_{k+m+1}} - X_{t_{2j-1}} > 6\epsilon_{k+m+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for each $(X, t^*) \in \{(L, t_{2j-2}), (R, t_{2j})\}$.

- $\inf_{s\in[t^*+\epsilon_n,t^*+\epsilon_{k+m+1}]} X_s > X_{t^*}+3\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $X_{t^*+\epsilon_{k+m+1}}-X_{t^*} > 6\epsilon_{k+m+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for each $(X,t^*)\in\{(L,t_{2j-2}),(R,t_{2j})\}$.

Note that the occurrence of $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ implies that the conditions defining $B_{n,j}^{*}(\mathbb{t})$ are satisfied near t_{2j-2}, t_{2j-1} and t_{2j}. The event $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ is independent of $F_i(\mathbb{t})$ for all i, since the event $F_i(\mathbb{t})$ depends on the behavior of Z right before (resp. after) t_i for i even (resp. odd), while the event $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ depends on the behavior of Z right before (resp. after) t_{2j-1} (resp. t_{2j-2} and t_{2j}). The event $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ is also independent of the events $A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})$ for $i\neq 2j-2,2j-1,2j$. Furthermore $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ is independent of $G(\mathbb{t})$ for $j>1$, and the probability of $B_{n,1}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ changes only by a constant order factor when conditioning on $G(\mathbb{t})$. By Brownian scaling,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s\in[t_{2j}+\epsilon_n,t_{2j}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}]} R_s > R_{t_{2j}}+3\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}},\, R_{t_{2j}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}}-R_{t_{2j}} > 6\epsilon_{k+m+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \,|\, A_{n,2j}(\mathbb{t})\right)\\
&\qquad\succeq \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{\substack{s\in[t_{2j}+\epsilon_n,\\ t_{2j}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}]}} R_s > R_{t_{2j}}+3\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}},\, R_{t_{2j}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}}-R_{t_{2j}} > 6\epsilon_{k+m+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \,|\, \inf_{\substack{s\in[t_{2j}+\epsilon_n,\\ t_{2j}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}]}} R_s \geq R_{t_{2j}}-\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\\
&\qquad\succeq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

and similar estimates hold for the other three conditions in the definition of $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-2} A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})\cap F_j(\mathbb{t})\right)\cap G(\mathbb{t})\cap\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2\rfloor} B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})\right)\right)\asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}+(m-2)\frac{\pi}{2\theta}}.$$

By (6.2) of Lemma 6.7 with $s=\epsilon_{k+m+1}$ we get further

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m-2} A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})\cap F_j(\mathbb{t})\right)\cap G(\mathbb{t})\cap\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2\rfloor} B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})\cap B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})\right)\right)\asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}+(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac{1}{2})}. \tag{6.9}$$

It remains to show that the same estimate holds if we replace $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ by $B_{n,j}^{*}(\mathbb{t})$. It is straightforward to obtain the estimate with $\preceq$ and $B_{n,j}^{*}(\mathbb{t})$ instead of $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$, since $\widetilde{E}(\mathbb{t})\subset E(\mathbb{t})$ for $C=1,r=0$, and we have the estimate of Lemma 6.8. To obtain (6.9) with $\succeq$, and with $B_{n,j}^{*}(\mathbb{t})$ instead of $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$, we need to show that the inequalities of $B_{n,j}^{*}(\mathbb{t})$ hold with uniformly positive probability also at a macroscopic distance from the cone times t_{2j-2},t_{2j-1},t_{2j}, conditioned on the event of (6.9). Note that all the events we condition on, except for $B_{n,j}$, only concern the behavior of the curve near the approximate cone times, while $B_{n,j}$ says that the L and R coordinate of pairs of cone times are close, and that the curve is above this L or R coordinate in the time interval between the cone times. The event $B_{n,j}^{*,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{t})$ ensures that $R_{t_{2j}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}}, R_{t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_{k+m+1}}, L_{t_{2j-2}+\epsilon_{k+m+1}}$ and $L_{t_{2j-1}-\epsilon_{k+m+1}}$ have a macroscopic distance from $R_{t_{2j}}, R_{t_{2j-1}}, L_{t_{2j-2}}$ and $L_{t_{2j-1}}$, respectively. The occurrence of $B_{n,j}^{*}$, conditioned on the event of (6.9), therefore corresponds to the event that two approximate Brownian bridges of duration of order 1 between two given pairs of points at (possibly different) height of order 1, are always larger than $3\epsilon_n$. This happens with probability $\succeq 1$ uniformly in n and $\mathbb{t}$. Hence the conditional probability of $B_{n,j}^{*}$ given the event of (6.9) is positive uniformly in n and $\mathbb{t}$. □

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\mathbb{t},\mathbb{s}\in D_n$, $\mathbb{t}\neq\mathbb{s}$, and assume the event $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})\cap\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ occurs for some $n\geq m+k$. Then at least one of the two following conditions are satisfied:*

(I) All $i,j\in\{0,\dots,m-2\}$ satisfy $|s_i-t_j|\geq\epsilon_{k+m}$.

(II) There is an $l\in(k,n-1)$ such that $|s_j-t_j|\in[\epsilon_{l+m+1},\epsilon_{l+2}]$ for all $j\in\{0,\dots,m-2\}$. We furthermore have either $s_j<t_j$ for all odd j and $t_j<s_j$ for all even j, or $s_j<t_j$ for all even j and $t_j<s_j$ for all odd j.

Proof. If (I) is not satisfied, at least one of the following conditions must be satisfied: (i) $0 < \min_j |s_j - t_j| < \epsilon_{k+m}$, (ii) $s_j = t_j$ for some j, or (iii) $|s_j - t_i| < \epsilon_{k+m}$ for some $i \neq j$. We will show that (i) implies (II), and that (ii) and (iii) cannot occur, hence complete the proof of the lemma.

Case (i): Assume (i) holds, but (I) does not hold. Furthermore, assume the first condition of (II) does not hold, i.e., there is no $l > k$ such that $|s_j - t_j| \in [\epsilon_{l+m+1}, \epsilon_{l+2}]$ for all j. Then we can find $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-2\}$ and $l' \geq k+2$ such that $|s_j - t_j| < \epsilon_{l'+1}$, $|s_i - t_i| > \epsilon_{l'}$, and $|i - j| = 1$. Assume w.l.o.g. that j is even, $i = j+1$, and $t_j < s_j < s_{j+1}$; all other cases can be treated similarly.

We claim that $s_{j+1} < t_{j+1}$. Assume the opposite, i.e., $t_{2j'+1} < s_{2j'+1}$ for $j = 2j'$. Note that this implies $t_{2j'+1} \in (s_{2j'}, s_{2j'+1})$, since $t_{2j'} < t_{2j'+1}$, $|s_{2j'} - t_{2j'}| < \epsilon_{l'+1}$ and $|t_{2j'+1} - t_{2j'}| > \delta$. Regularity condition $B^*_{n,j'+1}(\mathbb{t})$ gives a contradiction to $B_{n,j'+1}(\mathbb{s})$, since $s_{2j'} \in (t_{2j'}, t_{2j'+1})$, and

$$L_{s_{2j'}} \leq L_{s_{2j'+1}} + \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} < L_{t_{2j'+1}} - 2\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This implies the claim.

Since $0 < \min_{j'} |s_{j'} - t_{j'}| < \epsilon_{l'+1}$, we have $n > l' + 1$, which implies $\epsilon_n < \epsilon_{l'+1}$. Observe that the event $B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$, hence $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$, cannot occur if $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ occurs, since

$$\inf_{s \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]} L_s \leq \inf_{s \in [s_{j+1}, s_{j+1} + \epsilon_{l'}]} L_s < \inf_{s \in [s_j - \epsilon_{l'+1}, s_j]} L_s - 2\epsilon_{l'+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (L_{s_{j+1}} - L_{s_j}) < \inf_{s \in [s_j - \epsilon_{l'+1}, s_j]} L_s - \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq L_{t_j} - \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the second inequality follows from $F_j(\mathbb{s})$ and $F_{j+1}(\mathbb{s})$. We have obtained a contradiction, hence there is an $l > k$ such that $|s_j - t_j| \in [\epsilon_{l+m+1}, \epsilon_{l+2}]$ for all j. Note that $l + 2 \leq n$, since $0 < \min_{j'} |s_{j'} - t_{j'}| \leq \epsilon_{l+2}$. By the same argument as when deriving $s_{j+1} < t_{j+1}$ above, regularity conditions $B^*_{n,j'+1}(\mathbb{t})$ and $B^*_{n,j'+1}(\mathbb{s})$ for $j' \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$ imply that $s_j < t_j$ for all odd j, and $t_j < s_j$ for all even j, or vice versa.

Case (ii): Since $\mathbb{t} \neq \mathbb{s}$, we can find j such that $t_j = s_j$ and either $s_{j-1} \neq t_{j-1}$ or $s_{j+1} \neq t_{j+1}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $j = 2j'$ is even, and that $s_{j+1} > t_{j+1}$. By definition of D we have $t_{j+1} \in (s_j, s_{j+1})$. We get a contradiction from the regularity condition $B^*_{n,j'+1}(\mathbb{s})$, since

$$L_{t_{2j'}} = L_{s_{2j'}} \leq L_{s_{2j'+1}} + \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} < L_{t_{2j'+1}} - 2\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq L_{t_{2j'}} - \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.10}$$

Case (iii): Assume w.l.o.g. that $j > i$. By $F_j(\mathbb{t})$, $F_j(\mathbb{s})$, $F_i(\mathbb{t})$ and $F_i(\mathbb{s})$, i and j have the same parity. By the same argument as in case (ii), we have $s_i \neq t_j$. By the same argument as for Case (i), and by induction on l, we have $|s_{i-l} - t_{j-l}| < \epsilon_{k+m-l}$ for all $l \leq i$, hence $|s_0 - t_{j-i}| < \epsilon_{k+2}$. By the regularity condition $G(\mathbb{s})$, the event $A_{n,j-i}(\mathbb{t})$, hence $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$, cannot occur, conditioned on $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$. This gives us the desired contradiction, hence case (iii) cannot occur. □

Proposition 6.12. *For any $\mathbb{s}, \mathbb{t} \in D_n$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t}) \cap \widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})) \leq \|\mathbb{t} - \mathbb{s}\|^{-\frac{1}{2} - (m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2}) + o_{\|\mathbb{t}-\mathbb{s}\|}(1)} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})) \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})). \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. We will prove the assertion separately for the two cases of Lemma 6.11.

Case (I): The $2(m-1)$ events $A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$ and $A_{n,j}(\mathbb{s})$ are all independent, and by Lemma 6.6,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})) = \mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{s})) \asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}} \text{ for } j > 0, \qquad \mathbb{P}(A_{n,0}(\mathbb{t})) = \mathbb{P}(A_{n,0}(\mathbb{s})) \asymp \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $(B_i)_{i=1,\dots,2\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor}$ denote an ordering of the events $B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$, $B_{n,j}(\mathbb{s})$, where $B_{j'}(\mathbb{t}')$ comes before $B_{j''}(\mathbb{t}'')$, $\mathbb{t}', \mathbb{t}'' \in \{\mathbb{t}, \mathbb{s}\}$, if $t'_{2j'-1} < t''_{2j''-1}$. By (6.2) of Lemma 6.7 with $s = \epsilon_{k+m+1}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(B_j \mid \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2} A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2} A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i \right) \right) \asymp \begin{cases} \epsilon_n \text{ if } B_j = B_{n,i}(\mathbb{t}) \text{ or } B_j = B_{n,i}(\mathbb{s}),\ 2i+1 < m, \\ \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ if } B_j = B_{n,i}(\mathbb{t}) \text{ or } B_j = B_{n,i}(\mathbb{s}),\ 2i+1 = m. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})\cap\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})) &\le \prod_{j=0}^{m-2}\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}))\times\prod_{j=0}^{m-2}\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{s}))\\ &\quad\times\prod_{j=1}^{2\lfloor(m-1)/2\rfloor}\mathbb{P}\left(B_j\,|\,\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{j-1}B_i\right)\right)\\ &\asymp\epsilon_n^{1+2(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac12)}\\ &\asymp\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s}))\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})).\end{aligned}$$

Case (II): Assume w.l.o.g. that $s_1<t_1$, which implies $s_j<t_j$ for all odd j and $t_j<s_j$ for all even j. Since $\epsilon_{l+m+1}=\epsilon_l^{o_l(1)}$, and by Lemma 6.6, Brownian scaling and the Markov property of Brownian motion, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})\,|\,A_{n,j}(\mathbb{s}))=\begin{cases}\epsilon_l^{-\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+o_l(1)}\epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}} & \text{for } j>0,\\ \epsilon_l^{-\frac12+o_l(1)}\epsilon_n^{\frac12} & \text{for } j=0.\end{cases}$$

By using this estimate, $\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j}(\mathbb{s}))\asymp\epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}}$ for $j>0$, $\mathbb{P}(A_{n,0}(\mathbb{s}))\asymp\epsilon_n^{\frac12}$, and that $A_{n,j}(\mathbb{s})$ and $A_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})$ are independent of $A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})$ and $A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})$ for $i\neq j$, we get further

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcap_{i\text{ even}}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})\right)\right)=\epsilon_l^{-\frac12-\frac{\pi}{2\theta}\lfloor(m-2)/2\rfloor+o_l(1)}\epsilon_n^{1+\frac{\pi}{2\theta}(m-2+\lfloor(m-2)/2\rfloor)}.\tag{6.12}$$

By (6.2) of Lemma 6.7 with $s=\epsilon_{k+m+1}$, for $j\in\{1,\dots,\lfloor(m-1)/2\rfloor\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(B_{n,j}(\mathbb{s})\,|\,\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{\substack{i\text{ even or}\\ i>2j-1}}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{i\,:\,t_{2i-1}>t_{2j-1}}B_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})\cap B_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})\right)\right)\\ \asymp\begin{cases}\epsilon_n & \text{for } 2j+1<m,\\ \epsilon_n^{\frac12} & \text{for } 2j+1=m.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

Next we will show that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t})\cap A_{n,2j-1}(\mathbb{t})\,|\,\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{\substack{i\text{ even or}\\ i>2j-1}}A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{i\,:\,t_{2i-1}>t_{2j-1}}B_{n,i}(\mathbb{t})\cap B_{n,i}(\mathbb{s})\right)\cap B_{n,j}(\mathbb{s})\right)\\ \preceq\begin{cases}\epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+1}\epsilon_l^{-(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+1)+o_l(1)} & \text{for } 2j+1<m,\\ \epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac12}\epsilon_l^{-(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac12)+o_l(1)} & \text{for } 2j+1=m.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{6.14}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,2j-1}(\mathbb{t})=\left\{X_{t_{2j-1}-s}\ge X_{t_{2j-1}}-\epsilon_n^{\frac12},\,\forall s\in(0,\epsilon_{l+m+1}/2),\,\forall X=\{L,R\}\right\},$$

and note that $A_{n,2j-1}(\mathbb{t})\subset\widetilde{A}_{n,2j-1}(\mathbb{t})$. By Lemma 6.6

$$\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{A}_{n,2j-1})=\epsilon_l^{-\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+o_l(1)}\epsilon_n^{\frac{\pi}{2\theta}}.\tag{6.15}$$

Let $s=\epsilon_{l+m+1}/2$, and note that $t_{2j-1}-s_{2j-1}\ge 2s$. Since both $\widetilde{A}_{n,2j-1}(\mathbb{t})$ and the events we condition on in (6.14) are measurable with respect to the σ-algebra generated by

1. (L_t,R_t) for $t\le t_{2j-1}-2s$ and

2. $(L_t, R_t) - (L_{t_{2j-1}}, R_{t_{2j-1}})$ for $t \in (t_{2j-1} - s, t_{2j-1})$,

the estimate (6.3) from Lemma 6.7 implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(B_{n,j}(\mathbb{t}) \mid \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-2} A_{n,i}(\mathbb{t}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{i \text{ even or} \\ i>2j-1}} A_{n,i}(\mathbb{s}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i>j} B_{n,i}(\mathbb{t}) \cap B_{n,i}(\mathbb{s}) \right) \cap B_{n,j}(\mathbb{s}) \cap \widetilde{A}_{n,2j-1}(\mathbb{t}) \right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} \epsilon_n \epsilon_l^{-1+o_l(1)} & \text{for } 2j+1 < m, \\ \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_l^{-\frac{1}{2}+o_l(1)} & \text{for } 2j+1 = m. \end{cases}$$

By using this estimate and (6.15), we obtain (6.14). By multiplying equations (6.13) and (6.14), taking the product over $j \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor\}$, and then multiplying by (6.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t}) \cap \widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})) &\le \epsilon_l^{-\frac{1}{2}-(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac{1}{2})+o_l(1)} \times \epsilon_n^{1+2(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \|\mathbb{t}-\mathbb{s}\|^{-\frac{1}{2}-(m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta}+\frac{1}{2})+o_{\|\mathbb{t}-\mathbb{s}\|}(1)} \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t}))\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of lower bound in Theorem 6.3: By Lemma 6.10, Proposition 6.12 and [MWW14b, Proposition 4.8] we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)) \ge \widetilde{d}) > 0, \tag{6.16}$$

for any $\widetilde{d} < \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2})$. [MWW14b, Proposition 4.8] is stated for $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ instead of $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, but the proof carries through in exactly the same manner if considering the domain D instead, only with 2 replaced by $(m-1)$ in the statement of the proposition. Also, [MWW14b, Proposition 4.8] is stated for events that are defined for all points in the domain, while our events $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{t})$ are only defined for $\mathbb{t} \in D_n$. We obtain new events $E'_n(\mathbb{t})$ defined for all $\mathbb{t} \in D$ as follows. Given $\mathbb{t} \in D$ we let $\mathbb{s} \in D_n$ be the vector such that $\|\mathbb{t} - \mathbb{s}\|$ is minimized, with some (unspecified) rule to break ties. We define $E'_n(\mathbb{t})$ to be the event such that $E'_n(\mathbb{t})$ occurs if and only if $\widetilde{E}_n(\mathbb{s})$ occurs.

By the scale invariance and the Markov property of Brownian motion, the event of (6.16) almost surely occurs. By letting $\widetilde{d} \to \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2})$ it follows that, almost surely,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)) \ge \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)\left(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{6.17}$$

By Lemma 6.9 and $\mathcal{T}(\delta, m) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)$ we get a lower bound for one of the two considered sets of Theorem 6.3:

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(m)) \ge \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)\left(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Let $\mathrm{Proj}_1 : \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be projection $\mathbb{t} \mapsto t_1$, and define $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m) := \mathrm{Proj}_1(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m))$. We will argue that a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)) \le \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)). \tag{6.18}$$

Assume $d, s > 0$, $s \ll 1$, satisfy $d - s > \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m))$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a sequence of intervals $(I_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m) \subset \cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} I_i$ and $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} |I_i|^{d-s} < \epsilon$. Let $(l_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be such that $|I_i| \in (\epsilon_{l_i+1}, \epsilon_{l_i})$.

Let $\mathbb{s}, \mathbb{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)$, $\mathbb{t} \ne \mathbb{s}$. One of the two cases (I) or (II) of Lemma 6.11 must hold. Therefore we can find a sequence $(\widetilde{I}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of rectangles $\widetilde{I}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, such that $\mathrm{Proj}_1(\widetilde{I}_i) = I_i$, $\mathrm{diam}(\widetilde{I}_i) \preceq \epsilon_{l_i-m+2} = |I_i|^{1+o_{|I_i|}(1)}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m) \subset \cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \widetilde{I}_i$. We have $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{diam}(\widetilde{I}_i)^d \le \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} |I_i|^{d-s} < \epsilon$ when $\max_i |I_i|$ is sufficiently small, hence for all sufficiently small ϵ. It follows that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)) \le d$, and by letting $s \to 0$ and $d \to \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m))$, we see that (6.18) holds almost surely. The lower bound for the second of the considered sets of Theorem 6.3, follows by

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}(m)\big) \ge \dim_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)\big) \ge \dim_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, m)\big) \ge \frac{1}{2} - (m-2)\left(\frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

7 Open questions

In this section we will list some open problems relating to the results of this paper.

1. Let Z be a correlated Brownian motion as in (1.3), run for positive time, and let $\widehat{X}$ be the set of times $t \geq 0$ which are not contained in any left cone interval for Z. The Hausdorff dimension of $\widehat{X}$ is computed in a very indirect manner in Example 2.8. Can one obtain this dimension directly? If so, one would obtain a new proof of the dimension of the gasket of $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ for $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$.

2. Let $\kappa' \in (4, 8)$ and let Γ be a $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ in a simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. We define the *thin gasket* of Γ is to be the set $\mathcal{T}$ of points in D which are not disconnected from ∂D by any loop in Γ. Equivalently, $\mathcal{T}$ is the closure of the union of the outer boundaries of the outermost CLE loops, where the outer boundary of a loop ℓ is the boundary of the set of points disconnected from ∂D by ℓ. The thin gasket differs from the ordinary gasket of [MSW14, SSW09] in that the ordinary gasket includes points which are disconnected from ∂D by some loop in Γ but which are not actually surrounded by this loop. What is the a.s. Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{T}$?

   One can make a reasonable guess as to what this dimension should be, as follows. Suppose we take as our ansatz that the quantum scaling exponent Δ of $\mathcal{T}$ in the KPZ formula is a linear function of κ'. This assumption seems reasonable, as it is satisfied with $\mathcal{T}$ replaced by the ordinary gasket, the $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa'}$ or $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa'}$ curve, and the double and cut points of SLE. By SLE duality, as $\kappa' \to 8$, the outer boundaries of CLE loops start to look like SLE_2 curves. Therefore we should expect $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T} \to 5/4$ as $\kappa' \to 8$. On the other hand, as $\kappa' \to 4$, the thin gasket starts to look like the ordinary gasket, so by the results of [NW11, SSW09], we should expect $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T} \to 15/8$ as $\kappa' \to 4$. These guesses lead to the prediction that $\Delta = \frac{\kappa'}{16}$ which, in turn, by the KPZ formula this yields the prediction $\frac{5}{32}(16 - \kappa')$ for the dimension.

   In the peanosphere setting, consider the restriction of the whole-plane $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ associated with the curve η' to some bubble U disconnected from ∞ by η'. This restriction has the law of a $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ in U and its thin gasket can be described by an explicit (but rather complicated) functional of the Brownian motion $Z = (L, R)$. Such a description is implicit in [GM16] since this paper describes the set of points disconnected from ∂U by each $\mathrm{CLE}_{\kappa'}$ loop in U. One could obtain $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}$ by computing the Hausdorff dimension of this Brownian motion set and applying Theorem 1.1. Alternatively, one could attempt to make rigorous an argument of the sort given in [DMS14, Section 11]. As a third possibility, one could take a direct approach, possibly using imaginary geometry [MS16a, MS16b, MS16c, MS13] to regularize the events in the two-point estimate as in [MW14, GMS14].

3. Can one describe various multifractal quantities associated with the SLE_κ curve in terms of the Brownian motion $Z = (L, R)$ in the peanosphere construction of [DMS14], such as the multifractal spectrum [GMS14, Dup00], the winding spectrum [DB02, DB08], various notions of higher multifractal spectra [Dup03], the multifractal spectrum at the tip [JVL12], the optimal Hölder exponent [Lin08, JVL11], or the integral means spectrum [BS09a, GMS14]? If so, can these quantities be computed using Theorem 1.1 or some variant thereof?

References

[ABJ15] T. Alberts, I. Binder, and F. Johansson Viklund. A Dimension Spectrum for SLE Boundary Collisions. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2015, 1501.06212.

[Aru13] J. Aru. KPZ relation does not hold for the level lines and the SLE_κ flow lines of the Gaussian free field. *arxiv*, 2013, 1203.4216.

[AS08] T. Alberts and S. Sheffield. Hausdorff dimension of the SLE curve intersected with the real line. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 13:no. 40, 1166–1188, 2008, 0711.4070. MR2430703 (2009e:60025)

[BBK+09] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song, and Z. Vondracek. *Potential analysis of stable processes and its extensions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1980. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Edited by P. Graczyk and A. Stos.

[Bef08] V. Beffara. The dimension of the SLE curves. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1421–1452, 2008, math/0211322. MR2435854 (2009e:60026)

[Ber96] J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR1406564 (98e:60117)

[Ber99] J. Bertoin. Subordinators: examples and applications. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997)*, volume 1717 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–91. Springer, Berlin, 1999. MR1746300 (2002a:60001)

[Ber13] N. Berestycki. Diffusion in planar Liouville quantum gravity. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2013, 1301.3356.

[BGRV14] N. Berestycki, C. Garban, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. KPZ formula derived from Liouville heat kernel. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2014, 1406.7280.

[BJRV13] J. Barral, X. Jin, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. Gaussian multiplicative chaos and KPZ duality. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 323(2):451–485, 2013, 1202.5296. MR3096527

[BP15] C. J. Bishop and Y. Peres. Fractal sets in Probability and Analysis. In preparation, 2015.

[BS09a] D. Beliaev and S. Smirnov. Harmonic measure and SLE. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 290(2):577–595, 2009, 0801.1792. MR2525631 (2011c:60265)

[BS09b] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm. KPZ in one dimensional random geometry of multiplicative cascades. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 289(2):653–662, 2009, 0806.1347. MR2506765 (2010c:60151)

[Cur13] N. Curien. A glimpse of the conformal structure of random planar maps. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2013, 1308.1807.

[DB02] B. Duplantier and I. Binder. Harmonic measure and winding of conformally invariant curves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 89(264101), 2002, cond-mat/0208045.

[DB08] B. Duplantier and I. Binder. Harmonic measure and winding of random conformal paths: A Coulomb gas perspective. *Nucl.Phys. B*, 802:494–513, 2008, 0802.2280.

[DK88] B. Duplantier and K.-H. Kwon. Conformal invariance and intersections of random walks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 61:2514–2517, Nov 1988.

[DMS14] B. Duplantier, J. Miller, and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity as a mating of trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2014, 1409.7055.

[DRSV14] B. Duplantier, R. Rhodes, S. Sheffield, and V. Vargas. Renormalization of critical Gaussian multiplicative chaos and KPZ relation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 330(1):283–330, 2014, 1212.0529. MR3215583

[DS11] B. Duplantier and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and KPZ. *Invent. Math.*, 185(2):333–393, 2011, 1206.0212. MR2819163 (2012f:81251)

[Dub09] J. Dubédat. Duality of Schramm-Loewner evolutions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(5):697–724, 2009, 0711.1884. MR2571956 (2011g:60151)

[Dup98] B. Duplantier. Random walks and quantum gravity in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81(25):5489–5492, 1998. MR1666816 (99j:83034)

[Dup00] B. Duplantier. Conformally invariant fractals and potential theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84(7):1363–1367, 2000, cond-mat/9908314.

[Dup03] B. Duplantier. Higher conformal multifractality. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 110(3–6):691–738, 2003, cond-mat/0207743.

[Eva85] S. N. Evans. On the Hausdorff dimension of Brownian cone points. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 98(2):343–353, 1985. MR795899 (86j:60185)

[GHM16] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, and J. Miller. Dimension transformation formula for conformal mapping and sle. In preparation, 2016.

[GHMS15] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, J. Miller, and X. Sun. Brownian motion correlation in the peanosphere for $\kappa > 8$. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015, 1510.04687.

[GHS16] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, and X. Sun. Joint scaling limit of a bipolar-oriented triangulation and its dual in the peanosphere sense. In preparation, 2016.

[GKMW16] E. Gwynne, A. Kassel, J. Miller, and D. B. Wilson. Topologically-weighted spanning trees on planar maps and SLE-decorated Liouville quantum gravity for $\kappa \geq 8$. In preparation, 2016.

[GM16] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Convergence of the topology of critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn planar maps to that of CLE_κ on a Liouville quantum surface. In preparation, 2016.

[GMS14] E. Gwynne, J. Miller, and X. Sun. Almost sure multifractal spectrum of SLE. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2014, 1412.8764.

[GMS15] E. Gwynne, C. Mao, and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn model on a random planar map I: cone times. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2015, 1502.00546.

[GRV13a] C. Garban, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. Liouville Brownian motion. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2013, 1301.2876.

[GRV13b] C. Garban, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. On the heat kernel and the Dirichlet form of Liouville Brownian Motion. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2013, 1302.6050.

[GS15a] E. Gwynne and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn model on a random planar map II: local estimates and empty reduced word exponent. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2015, 1505.03375.

[GS15b] E. Gwynne and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn model on a random planar map III: finite volume case. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015, 1510.06346.

[HMP10] X. Hu, J. Miller, and Y. Peres. Thick points of the Gaussian free field. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(2):896–926, 2010, 0902.3842. MR2642894 (2011c:60117)

[HP74] J. Hawkes and W. E. Pruitt. Uniform dimension results for processes with independent increments. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 28:277–288, 1973/74. MR0362508 (50 #14948)

[HS16] N. Holden and X. Sun. SLE as a mating a trees in Euclidean geometry. In preparation, 2016.

[Jac14] H. Jackson. Liouville Brownian Motion and Thick Points of the Gaussian Free Field. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2014, 1412.1705.

[JVL11] F. Johansson Viklund and G. F. Lawler. Optimal Hölder exponent for the SLE path. *Duke Math. J.*, 159(3):351–383, 2011, 0904.1180. MR2831873

[JVL12] F. Johansson Viklund and G. F. Lawler. Almost sure multifractal spectrum for the tip of an SLE curve. *Acta Math.*, 209(2):265–322, 2012, 0911.3983. MR3001607

[KMSW15] R. Kenyon, J. Miller, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson. Bipolar orientations on planar maps and SLE_{12}. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2015, 1511.04068.

[KPZ88] V. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov, and A. Zamolodchikov. Fractal structure of 2D-quantum gravity. *Modern Phys. Lett A*, 3(8):819–826, 1988.

[KW14] A. Kemppainen and W. Werner. The nested simple conformal loop ensembles in the Riemann sphere. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2014, 1402.2433.

[LG13] J.-F. Le Gall. Uniqueness and universality of the Brownian map. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(4):2880–2960, 2013, 1105.4842. MR3112934

[Lin08] J. R. Lind. Hölder regularity of the SLE trace. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(7):3557–3578, 2008. MR2386236 (2009f:60048)

[LSW01a] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. I. Half-plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):237–273, 2001. MR1879850 (2002m:60159a)

[LSW01b] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. II. Plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):275–308, 2001. MR1879851 (2002m:60159b)

[LSW02] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. III. Two-sided exponents. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 38(1):109–123, 2002. MR1899232 (2003d:60163)

[LSW03] G. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Conformal restriction: the chordal case. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):917–955 (electronic), 2003, math/0209343v2". MR1992830 (2004g:60130)

[Mie13] G. Miermont. The Brownian map is the scaling limit of uniform random plane quadrangulations. *Acta Math.*, 210(2):319–401, 2013, 1104.1606. MR3070569

[Moo28] R. L. Moore. Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):416–428, 1928.

[MP10] P. Mörters and Y. Peres. *Brownian motion*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. With an appendix by Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. MR2604525 (2011i:60152)

[MS13] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry IV: interior rays, whole-plane reversibility, and space-filling trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2013, 1302.4738.

[MS15a] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. An axiomatic characterization of the Brownian map. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015, 1506.03806.

[MS15b] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map I: The QLE(8/3,0) metric. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2015, 1507.00719.

[MS15c] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity spheres as matings of finite-diameter trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015, 1506.03804.

[MS16a] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary Geometry I: Interacting SLEs. *Prob. Theory and Related Fields*, to appear, 2016, 1201.1496.

[MS16b] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry II: reversibility of $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho_1;\rho_2)$ for $\kappa \in (0,4)$. *Ann. Prob.*, to appear, 2016, 1201.1497.

[MS16c] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry III: reversibility of SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4,8)$. *Ann. Math.*, to appear, 2016, 1201.1498.

[MS16d] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map II: geodesics and continuity of the embedding. In preparation, 2016.

[MS16e] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map III: the conformal structure is determined. In preparation, 2016.

[MS16f] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Quantum Loewner Evolution. *Duke Math. J.*, to appear, 2016, 1312.5745.

[MSW14] J. Miller, N. Sun, and D. B. Wilson. The Hausdorff dimension of the CLE gasket. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(4):1644–1665, 2014, 1403.6076. MR3262488

[MSW16] J. Miller, S. Sheffield, and W. Werner. CLE percolations. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2016, 1602.03884.

[MW14] J. Miller and H. Wu. Intersections of SLE paths: the double and cut point dimension of SLE. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 2014, 1303.4725. To appear.

[MWW14a] J. Miller, S. S. Watson, and D. B. Wilson. Extreme nesting in the conformal loop ensemble. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2014, 1401.0217.

[MWW14b] J. Miller, S. S. Watson, and D. B. Wilson. The conformal loop ensemble nesting field. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2014, 1401.0218.

[NW11] S. Nacu and W. Werner. Random soups, carpets and fractal dimensions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 83(2):789–809, 2011.

[PT69] W. E. Pruitt and S. J. Taylor. Sample path properties of processes with stable components. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.*, 12:267–289, 1969.

[RS05] S. Rohde and O. Schramm. Basic properties of SLE. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(2):883–924, 2005, math/0106036. MR2153402 (2006f:60093)

[RV11] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas. KPZ formula for log-infinitely divisible multifractal random measures. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.*, 15:358–371, 2011, 0807.1036. MR2870520

[RV13] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas. Liouville Brownian motion at criticality. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2013, 1311.5847.

[RV14] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas. Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: A review. *Probab. Surv.*, 11:315–392, 2014, 1305.6221. MR3274356

[Sch00] O. Schramm. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221–288, 2000, math/9904022. MR1776084 (2001m:60227)

[She09] S. Sheffield. Exploration trees and conformal loop ensembles. *Duke Math. J.*, 147(1):79–129, 2009, math/0609167. MR2494457 (2010g:60184)

[She16a] S. Sheffield. Conformal weldings of random surfaces: SLE and the quantum gravity zipper. *Ann. Prob.*, to appear, 2016, 1012.4797.

[She16b] S. Sheffield. Quantum gravity and inventory accumulation. *Ann. Prob.*, to appear, 2016, 1108.2241.

[Shi85] M. Shimura. Excursions in a cone for two-dimensional Brownian motion. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 25(3):433–443, 1985. MR807490 (87a:60095)

[Shi88] M. Shimura. Meandering points of two-dimensional Brownian motion. *Kodai Math. J.*, 11:169–176, 1988.

[SSW09] O. Schramm, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson. Conformal radii for conformal loop ensembles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 288:43–53, 2009.

[SW05] O. Schramm and D. B. Wilson. SLE coordinate changes. *New York J. Math.*, 11:659–669 (electronic), 2005, math/0505368. MR2188260 (2007e:82019)

[SW12] S. Sheffield and W. Werner. Conformal loop ensembles: the Markovian characterization and the loop-soup construction. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 176(3):1827–1917, 2012, 1006.2374. MR2979861

[WW15] M. Wang and H. Wu. Remarks on the intersection of $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ curve with the real line. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2015, 1507.00218.

[Zha08] D. Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE. *Invent. Math.*, 174(2):309–353, 2008, 0712.0332. MR2439609 (2010f:60239)

[Zha10] D. Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE, II. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 46(3):740–759, 2010, 0803.2223. MR2682265 (2011i:60155)